

ARITHMETICAL FUNCTIONS OF THE FORM $f([g(n)])$

J.-C. PUCHTA and J. SPILKER (Freiburg)

Abstract. Two results on composed functions $f([g(n)])$ are proven. First we give conditions on f and g so that the mean $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f([g(n)])$ behaves like $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n)$, if $N \rightarrow \infty$, including the examples

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega([n^c]) = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) + O(1),$$

$c > 1$, c not an integer for $x \rightarrow \infty$. Secondly we find conditions on the real positive numbers α, β , such that $f([\alpha n])$ and $f([\alpha n], [\beta n])$ are almost periodic and we compute their mean values and spectra.

1. Introduction

If $0 < c < \frac{12}{11}$, Piatetski-Shapiro [7] has shown that the number of natural $n \leq x$ for which $[n^c]$ is prime is asymptotically $\frac{x}{c \log x}$. Here $[x]$ denotes as usual the integral part of the real number x . Generally one can expect that the number-theoretical properties of the set $\{[g(n)] : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ depends only on the density of this set, if $g : [1, \infty) \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ is a suitable function. In this direction we prove three theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let N be a natural number, $g : [N, 2N] \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ an $(l + 2)$ -times continuously differentiable function with $l \geq 0$, and let $\alpha > 1$, $c, \lambda > 0$ be real constants with the properties*

$$g(x) \leq x^c, \quad \lambda \leq |g^{(l+2)}(x)| \leq \alpha \lambda \quad \text{if } N \leq x \leq 2N.$$

Set $L = 2^l$. Then we have for every additive function $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ with

$$|f(p^k) - f(p^{k-1})| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } p^k$$

Key words and phrases: mean-value, additive functions, almost-periodic functions.
1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11K70, 11N37.

and for every $0 < \delta \leq c$

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f([g(n)]) = \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n) + O\left(N^{1+\delta}(\alpha^2 \lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-2}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}+\delta} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}+\delta} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}} + cN\delta^{-1}\right),$$

if $l \geq 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f([g(n)]) \\ &= \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n) + O\left(N^{1+\delta} \alpha^{2/3} \lambda^{1/3} + N^{3\delta/2} \lambda^{-1/2} + N\delta^{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

if $l = 0$. The constants implied by the O -symbol are absolute, provided that $N^{\delta/4} \gg L\delta^{-1}$.

EXAMPLES. Let $\Omega(n)$ be the total number of prime factors of n and $c > 1$, not an integer, $l = [c] - 1$. Then in the notation of Theorem 1 we have $\alpha \ll 1$, $\lambda \asymp N^{\{c\}-1}$, hence we can choose $\delta = \frac{1-\{c\}}{8L}$ to get

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \Omega([n^c]) = \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \Omega(n) + O\left(2^c \frac{N}{1 - \{c\}}\right).$$

We sum over intervals of the form $(2^k, 2^{k+1}]$. Together with $\sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) = x \log \log x + O(x)$ ([5], Theorem 430) we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Omega([n^c]) = x \log \log x + O\left(2^c \frac{x}{1 - \{c\}}\right)$$

uniformly in c , provided that $x > 2^{2^{c+3}((c+\log^{-1}(1-\{c\}))/ (1-\{c\}))}$. There is an analogous result for $\omega(n)$; but $\Omega(n^2) = 2\Omega(n)$, so that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n^2) \neq \sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) + O(x).$$

Note that if g is sufficiently smooth, the error term may be improved, e.g. using the theory of exponential pairs. However, for most functions g the

greatest contribution to the error term stems from $N\delta^{-1}$ which cannot be improved this way.

Before we formulate the other two theorems, we need some definitions on almost periodic functions (see [8]). If $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $q \in [1, \infty)$, define the q -seminorm

$$\|f\|_q := \left(\overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} |f(n)|^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

We call an arithmetical function $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ q -almost periodic ($q \in [1, \infty)$), if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some linear combination h over \mathbf{C} of exponential functions $e_\alpha(n) := e^{2\pi i \alpha n}$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, such that $\|f - h\|_q < \varepsilon$. It is called q -limit-periodic, if one can choose exponential functions with exponents $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$. The space of all q -almost-periodic resp. q -limit-periodic functions is denoted by \mathcal{A}^q resp. \mathcal{D}^q . If $f \in \mathcal{A}^q$, then the mean-value $M(f) := \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} f(n)$ exists and so does $\hat{f}(\alpha) := M(fe_{-\alpha})$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. We denote $\text{spec } f := \{\alpha \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} : \hat{f}(\alpha) \neq 0\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. Define $F(n) := f([\alpha n])$, if $n \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and 0 otherwise.*

1. *If $f \in \mathcal{A}^q$, then $F \in \mathcal{A}^q$.*
2. *If $f \in \mathcal{D}^q$ and α is irrational, then $M(F) = M(f)$ and $\text{spec } F \subseteq \subseteq (\alpha\mathbf{Q})/\mathbf{Z}$.*

REMARK. If f is a multiplicative function whose modulus does not exceed one, Part 2 was proven in [1].

EXAMPLES. We give two examples (see [2], p. 524).

1. Let $\alpha > 0$ be irrational and $f = \mu^2$. Then F is the characteristic function of the set $\{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}, [\alpha n] \text{ squarefree}\}$ and we have $F \in \mathcal{A}^q$ ($q \geq 1$), $M(F) = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$, $\text{spec } F \subseteq \subseteq (\alpha\mathbf{Q})/\mathbf{Z}$.

2. Let $\alpha > 1$ be irrational and χ be the characteristic function of $\{[\alpha m] : m \in \mathbf{N}, m \text{ squarefree}\}$ and $h(x) = 1$ if $0 < \{x\} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}$. Since $\chi(n) = h(\frac{n+1}{\alpha})\mu^2([\frac{n+1}{\alpha}])$, we have $\chi \in \mathcal{A}^2 \cdot \mathcal{A}^2 \subseteq \subseteq \mathcal{A}^1$ ([8], p. 198–200) and $\text{spec } \chi \subseteq \subseteq (\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{Q})/\mathbf{Z}$. Since χ is bounded, $\chi \in \mathcal{A}^q$ for every $q \geq 1$ ([8], p. 202).

THEOREM 3. *Let $f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be bounded and*

$$\delta_k := \|f(\cdot) - f((\cdot, k!))\|_1 \rightarrow 0$$

if $k \rightarrow \infty$. We define $F(n) := f([\alpha n], [\beta n])$ if $n \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}$ and $n \geq \frac{1}{\beta}$, zero otherwise ($\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$).

1. *Then $F \in \mathcal{A}^q$ for $q \in [1, \infty)$.*

2. If $1, \alpha, \beta$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} , then $M(F) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f'(n)}{n^2}$, where $f' := f * \mu$.
3. If $\beta = 1$, then

$$M(F) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{f'(n)}{n^2} & \alpha \text{ irrational} \\ \frac{1}{b} \sum_{1 \leq n < b} \sum_{d|n} \frac{f'(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{b} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{d|k!} \frac{f'(d)}{d}, & \alpha = \frac{a}{b}, b \in \mathbf{N}, (a, b) = 1. \end{cases}$$

EXAMPLES. 1. Set $f(1) := 1$, $f(n) := 0$ if $n > 1$. Then $f' = \mu$, $\delta_k = \prod_{p|k!} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$ and F (with $\beta = 1$) is the characteristic function of the set $\{n \in \mathbf{N} : (n, [\alpha n]) = 1\}$. The mean-values

$$M(F) = \begin{cases} \frac{6}{\pi^2} & \alpha \text{ irrational} \\ \frac{1}{b} \sum_{1 \leq n < b} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n}, & \alpha = \frac{a}{b}, (a, b) = 1 \end{cases}$$

were computed by Watson [10], the almost-periodicity was proved in [9].

2. If $f = \mu^2$, $\beta = 1$, then F is the characteristic function of $\{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}, (n, [\alpha n]) \text{ is squarefree}\}$, and we have

$$M(F) = \begin{cases} \frac{90}{\pi^4} & \alpha \text{ irrational} \\ \frac{1}{b} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq b} \sum_{t^2|n} \frac{\mu(t)}{t^2} + \frac{6}{b\pi^2}, & \alpha = \frac{a}{b}, b \in \mathbf{N}, (a, b) = 1. \end{cases}$$

3. The case $\alpha = \beta = 1$ gives a criterion for almost-periodicity: every bounded function f with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k = 0$ belongs to \mathcal{A}^q for all $q \geq 1$.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We need

LEMMA 1. *Let g, l, L, α, λ be defined as in Theorem 1, q be an integer. Then for $l = 0$ we have*

$$\#\{n \in (N, 2N] : q \mid [g(n)]\} = \frac{N}{q} + O(N\alpha^{2/3}\lambda^{1/3}q^{-1/3} + \lambda^{-1/2}q^{1/2}),$$

and for $l > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\{n \in (N, 2N] : q \mid [g(n)]\} \\ &= \frac{N}{q} + O\left(N\left(\frac{\alpha^2\lambda}{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}}\alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}}\log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}}\left(\frac{q}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We use the notation $x = [x] + \{x\}$. Then

$$q \mid [g(n)] \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \frac{g(n)}{q} \right\} < \frac{1}{q}.$$

The discrepancy D_N of the sequence $\left(\frac{g(n)}{q}\right)_{N < n \leq 2N}$ can be estimated by the theorem of Erdős–Turán ([6], p. 114, (2.42)):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \#\{n \in (N, 2N] : q \mid [g(n)]\} - \frac{N}{q} \right| \\ &= \left| \#\left\{n \in (N, 2N] : \left\{ \frac{g(n)}{q} \right\} < \frac{1}{q}\right\} - \frac{N}{q} \right| \\ &\leq ND_N = O\left(\min_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{N}{m} + \sum_{1 \leq h \leq m} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e^{2\pi i h g(n)/q} \right| \right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The inner exponential sum satisfies by van der Corput's theorem ([4], p. 8, Theorem 2.2) for $l = 0$

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e^{2\pi i h g(n)/q} = O\left(N\alpha\left(\frac{h\lambda}{q}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{q}{h\lambda}\right)^{1/2}\right),$$

and for $l > 0$ as follows ([4], p. 14, Theorem 2.8)

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} e^{2\pi i h g(n)/q}$$

$$= O \left(N \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L-1}} \left(\frac{h\lambda}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4L-2}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}} \left(\frac{q}{h\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2L}} \right).$$

Summing over h we obtain in the first case

$$\#\{n \in (N, 2N] : q \mid [g(n)]\}$$

$$= \frac{N}{q} + O \left(\min_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \left(\frac{N}{m} + N \alpha \left(\frac{m\lambda}{q} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{q}{\lambda} \right)^{1/2} \right) \right),$$

and in the second case

$$\#\{n \in (N, 2N] : q \mid [g(n)]\} = \frac{N}{q} + O \left(\min_{m \in \mathbf{N}} \left(\frac{N}{m} + N \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L-1}} \left(\frac{m\lambda}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4L-2}} \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log m + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}} \left(\frac{q}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2L}} \right) \right).$$

In the first case it suffices to choose for m the nearest integer to $\left(\frac{q}{\alpha^2 \lambda}\right)^{1/3}$. In the second case we certainly may assume $m \leq N$, thus the third term is $\ll N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N$. The first and the second term would be equal if $m = \left(\frac{q}{\alpha^2 \lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}}$, however, m has to be an integer. We therefore choose m to be $\left[\left(\frac{q}{\alpha^2 \lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}}\right] + 1$. If $m = 1$, our claim follows from the trivial bound N , otherwise changing m by an amount ≤ 1 does not change our estimate. Thus the error is bounded by

$$N \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}} \left(\frac{q}{\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2L}}$$

which proves our claim. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Since f is assumed to be additive, we have

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f([g(n)]) = \sum_{p^k \leq (2N)^c} f(p^k) \#\{n \in (N, 2N] \mid p^k \parallel [g(n)]\}.$$

We will break up the sum on the right hand side into three sums, the first running over all $p^k \leq N^\delta$, the second running over prime powers p^k such that $p^k > N^\delta$ and $p \geq N^{\delta/4}$, and the last one running over prime powers p^k such that $p^k > N^\delta$ and $p < N^{\delta/4}$. We will see that the last two sums contribute only to the error term. Consider the first sum. In the sequel we will assume $l > 0$, the case $l = 0$ being similar but simpler. Using Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} f(p^k) \#\{n \in (N, 2N] \mid p^k \parallel [g(n)]\} \\ &= \sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} (f(p^k) - f(p^{k-1})) \frac{N}{p^k} \\ &+ O\left(\sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} \left(N \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \lambda}{p^k}\right)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}} \left(\frac{p^k}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2L}}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} (f(p^k) - f(p^{k-1})) \frac{N}{p^k} \\ &+ O\left(N^{1+\delta} (\alpha^2 \lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}+\delta} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}+2\delta} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the estimate of Lemma 1 is trivial for $g(n) = n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} f(p^k) \#\{n \in (N, 2N] \mid p^k \parallel [g(n)]\} \\ &= \sum_{p^k \leq N^\delta} f(p^k) \#\{N < n \leq 2N \mid p^k \parallel n\} \\ &+ O\left(N^{1+\delta} (\alpha^2 \lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}+\delta+\frac{\delta}{2L}} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}+2\delta} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Extending the sum on the right hand side to all $p^k < 2N$, we introduce an error $< \frac{2cN}{\delta}$, and the resulting sum equals $\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n)$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &= \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n) + O\left(N^{1+\delta} (\alpha^2 \lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}+\delta} \alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}} \log N \right. \\ &\quad \left. + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}+2\delta} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}} + \frac{cN}{\delta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we can replace 2δ by δ by doubling the constant implied by the O -symbol. Every $[g(n)]$ has at most $\frac{\log g(n)}{\delta/4 \log N} \leq \frac{4c}{\delta}$ prime divisors $p > N^{\delta/4}$, counted with multiplicity, and each of them contributes at most 1 to the second sum, thus the second sum is at most $\frac{4c}{\delta}N$.

Now we consider the third sum. Let $p^k > N^\delta$ be a prime power, $p < N^{\delta/4}$. Then there is a $k' < k$ such that $N^{\delta/2} < p^{k'} \leq N^\delta$. Obviously, the number of $n \in (N, 2N]$ such that $[g(n)]$ is divisible by p^k is at most the number of n such that $[g(n)]$ is divisible by $p^{k'}$. Using Lemma 1 again this number is

$$\ll N^{1-\delta/2} + N(N^{-\delta/2}\alpha^2\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}}\alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}}\log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}}N^{\frac{\delta}{4L}}.$$

There are $\ll N^{\delta/4}/\log N^{\delta/4}$ primes $p \leq N^{\delta/4}$, and if $p^k \leq 2N$, we have $k \ll \log N$, thus there are $\ll N^{\delta/4} \log N / \log N^{\delta/4} = 4N^{\delta/4}\delta^{-1}$ such prime powers. Hence the total contribution of these terms is

$$\ll N + N^{1+\delta}(\alpha^2\lambda)^{\frac{1}{4L-1}} + N^{1-\frac{1}{2L}+\delta}\alpha^{\frac{1}{2L}}\log N + N^{1-\frac{2}{L}+\frac{1}{L^2}+\delta}\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2L}}$$

provided that $N^{\delta/4} > L\delta^{-1}$. This bound equals the error term of the first sum, thus we get for the complete sum the estimate of our theorem. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2

LEMMA 2 (oral communication by M. Peter). *Let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ have bounded variation and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. Then, for $H(n) := h(\{\alpha n\})$:*

1. $H \in \mathcal{A}^q$ for every $q \geq 1$;
2. $\text{spec } H \subseteq \alpha\mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$.

PROOF. Let $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \gamma_k e_k(x)$ be the Fourier series of $h \in \mathbf{L}^q([0, 1])$ and $h_L(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq L} \gamma_k e_k(x)$, $L \in \mathbf{N}$. The function $h_L^*(x) := h(\{x\}) - h_L(x)$ has bounded variation, so by Koksma's inequality ([6], p. 143)

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} |h_L^*(\alpha n)|^q - \int_0^1 |h_L^*(t)|^q dt \right| \leq \text{Var } |h_L^*|^q D_N,$$

where D_N is the discrepancy of the sequence $(\{\alpha n\})_{n \leq N}$. Since α is irrational, this sequence is uniformly distributed (mod 1) ([6], p. 8) and $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} D_N$

$= 0$ ([6], p. 89). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_L^*(\alpha n)\|_q^q &\leq \overline{\lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n \leq N} |h_L^*(\alpha n)|^q - \int_0^1 |h_L^*(t)|^q dt \right| + \int_0^1 |h_L^*(t)|^q dt \\ &= \int_0^1 |(h - h_L)(t)|^q dt. \end{aligned}$$

The span of the exponential functions $e_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbf{L}^q([0, 1])$, so

$$\|H - h_L(\alpha \cdot)\|_q = \|h_L^*(\alpha \cdot)\|_q \xrightarrow{L \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It follows $H \in \mathcal{A}^q$ and $\text{spec } H \subseteq \bigcup_{L \in \mathbf{N}} \text{spec } h_L(\alpha n) \subseteq \alpha \mathbf{Z}/\mathbf{Z}$. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. At first we prove that

$$(1) \quad e^{2\pi i r [\alpha n]} \in \mathcal{A}^q \quad \text{for every real } r.$$

If α is rational, say $\frac{a}{b}, b \in \mathbf{N}$, then $e^{-2\pi i r \{\alpha n\}}$ has period b and so

$$e^{2\pi i r [\alpha n]} = e^{2\pi i r \alpha n} e^{-2\pi i r \{\alpha n\}} \in \mathcal{A}^q.$$

If α is irrational, we can conclude in the same way by Lemma 2.

Secondly we show:

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \text{For every } \varepsilon > 0 \text{ we have a } \mathbf{C}\text{-linear combination } P(n) \\ \text{of exponentials } e_r, r \in \mathbf{R} \text{ such that } \|f([\alpha n]) - P([\alpha n])\|_q < \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}^q$, we have such a $P(n)$ with the property that $\|f - P\|_q < \varepsilon(\alpha + 1)^{-1/q}$. So

$$\sum_{n \leq N} |f([\alpha n]) - P([\alpha n])|^q = \sum_{m \leq \alpha N} \left(|f(m) - P(m)|^q \sum_{n: [\alpha n]=m} 1 \right).$$

The inner sum is $\leq 1 + \alpha^{-1}$, so

$$\|f([\alpha \cdot]) - P([\alpha \cdot])\|_q \leq (\alpha + 1)^{1/q} \|f - P\|_q < \varepsilon.$$

Now we can prove Part 1: If $\varepsilon > 0$ is given, we choose $P(n)$ by (2), then $P([\alpha n]) \in \mathcal{A}^q$ by (1) and so $F \in \mathcal{A}^q$.

To prove Part 2, let f be the characteristic function of some residue set $a \pmod{d}$, $d \in \mathbf{N}$ and $h(x) := 1$ if $\{x\} < \frac{1}{d}$, 0 otherwise. We have $f([\alpha n]) = h\left(\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right)$. Let $h_L(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq L} \gamma_k e_k(x)$ with $\gamma_k := \int_0^1 h(x) e^{-2\pi i k x} dx$. Since α is irrational, the sequence $\left(\left\{\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right\}\right)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is uniformly distributed and

$$\left\| f([\alpha n]) - h_L\left(\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right) \right\|_2^2 = \int_0^1 |h - h_L(t)|^2 dt.$$

By Parseval's equality

$$\int_0^1 |h - h_L(t)|^2 dt = \sum_{|k| > L} |\gamma_k|^2$$

and so

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \left\| f([\alpha n]) - h_L\left(\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right) \right\|_2 = 0.$$

We get

$$M(F) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} M\left(h_L\left(\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right)\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \gamma_k M\left(e^{2\pi i k \frac{\alpha n - a}{d}}\right) = \gamma_0,$$

$$\gamma_0 = \int_0^1 h(t) dt = \frac{1}{d} = M(f),$$

and $\text{spec } f([\alpha \cdot]) \subseteq \bigcup_{L \in \mathbf{N}} \text{spec } h_L\left(\frac{\alpha n - a}{d}\right) \subseteq (\alpha \mathbf{Q})/\mathbf{Z}$. So we have proved (ii) for these special characteristic functions. Since these approximate every $f \in \mathcal{D}^q$, we have the same properties in the general case, too. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 3

PROOF OF PART 1. Let $F_k(n) := f([\alpha n], [\beta n], k!)$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$. We need only show

$$(3) \quad F_k \in \mathcal{A}^q, \quad q \geq 1,$$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|F - F_k\|_q = 0.$$

PROOF OF (3). Let f_d be the characteristic function of $\{n \in \mathbf{N} : n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}$. Since $f = f' * 1$, we have

$$(5) \quad F_k(n) = \sum_{\substack{d|\alpha n \\ d|\beta n \\ d|k!}} f'(d) = \sum_{d|k!} f'(d) f_d([\alpha n]) f_d([\beta n]).$$

By Theorem 2, the functions $f_d([\alpha n])$ and $f_d([\beta n])$ belong to every \mathcal{A}^q , hence so does their product. So we have proved (3).

PROOF OF (4). Let $\rho_d(x) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ ([\alpha n], [\beta n]) = d}} 1$. By considerations similar to [3], p. 458 we see

$$\rho_d(x) \leq \begin{cases} \delta \frac{x}{d^2} + \frac{\log x}{\log 2} + 1 & \text{if } x \geq 1, d \in \mathbf{N}, \\ 1 & \text{if } d^2 \geq (\alpha + \beta)x, \end{cases}$$

where δ is a constant that depends only on α and β . Set $\gamma := \alpha + \beta$, then we have

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{n \leq x} |F(n) - F_k(n)|^q = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \geq 1} |f(d) - f((d, k!))|^q \rho_d(x) = \sum_1 + \sum_2$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1 &:= \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq (\gamma x)^{1/2}} |f(d) - f((d, k!))|^q \rho_d(x) \\ &\leq \delta \sum_{d \leq (\gamma x)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{d^2} |f(d) - f((d, k!))|^q + O(x^{-1/2} \log x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_2 \leq \frac{1}{x} \sum_{d \leq \gamma x} |f(d) - f((d, k!))|^q.$$

Since f is bounded, it follows

$$\|F - F_k\|_q^q = O\left(\sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{1}{d^2} |f(d) - f((d, k!))|\right) + O(\delta_k).$$

Since $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$, (4) is proved.

PROOF OF PART 2. By (4), (5) and Parseval's equality ([8], p. 207)

$$\begin{aligned} M(F) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(F_k) = \sum_{d \geq 1} f'(d) M(f_d([\alpha n]) f_d([\beta n])) \\ &= \sum_{d \geq 1} f'(d) \sum_{r \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}} M(f_d([\alpha \cdot]) e_{-r}) M(f_d([\beta \cdot]) e_r). \end{aligned}$$

Since 1, α , β are linearly independent, the inner sum has, by Theorem 2, Part 2, only one nonvanishing term $r = 0$:

$$M(F) = \sum_{d \geq 1} f'(d) M(f_d([\alpha \cdot])) M(f_d([\beta \cdot]))$$

and $M(f_d([\alpha \cdot])) = M(f_d([\beta \cdot])) = \frac{1}{d}$, hence $M(F) = \sum_{d \geq 1} \frac{f'(d)}{d^2}$.

PROOF OF PART 3. Let $\beta = 1$. If α is irrational, then $\text{spec } f_d([\alpha \cdot]) \cap \text{spec } f_d([\beta \cdot]) = \{0\}$ by Theorem 2 and the mean-value formula is valid. If $\alpha = \frac{a}{b}$ with $b \in \mathbf{N}$, $(a, b) = 1$, then $\text{spec } f_d = \{\frac{l}{d} : 0 \leq l < d\}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(F_k) &= \sum_{d|k!} f'(d) \sum_{0 \leq l < d} \frac{1}{d} M(f_d([\alpha \cdot]) e^{-2\pi i l n/d}) \\ &= \sum_{d|k!} f'(d) M(f_d([\alpha \cdot]) f_d(\cdot)). \end{aligned}$$

Since the function $f_d([\alpha \cdot]) f_d(\cdot)$ has period db , we compute

$$M(f_d([\alpha \cdot]) f_d(\cdot)) = \frac{1}{db} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n < db \\ d|[\alpha n], d|n}} 1 = \frac{1}{db} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m < b \\ \{am/b\} < 1/d}} 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{db} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq m < b \\ m/b < 1/d}} 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{d^2} & \text{if } d \mid b \\ \frac{1}{db} \left(\left[\frac{b}{d} \right] + 1 \right) & \text{if } d \nmid b. \end{cases}$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} M(F_k) &= \sum_{\substack{d \mid k! \\ d \mid b}} \frac{f'(d)}{d^2} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k! \\ d \nmid b}} \frac{f'(d)}{d} \left(\left[\frac{b}{d} \right] + 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{b} \sum_{d \mid k!} \frac{f'(d)}{d} \left[\frac{b}{d} \right] + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k! \\ d \nmid b}} \frac{f'(d)}{d} = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n < b} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k! \\ d \mid n}} \frac{f'(d)}{d} + \frac{1}{b} \sum_{d \mid k!} \frac{f'(d)}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $M(F) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} M(F_k)$, we have proved the last formula in Theorem 3.

□

References

- [1] H. Daboussi and H. Delange, On multiplicative arithmetical functions whose modulus does not exceed one, *J. London Math. Soc.*, (2) **26** (1982), 245–264.
- [2] H. Delange, On a theorem of J.-L. Maucilaire on limit-periodic sequences, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **17** (1985), 518–526.
- [3] T. Estermann, On the number of primitive lattice points in a parallelogram, *Can. J. Math.*, **5** (1953), 456–459.
- [4] S. W. Graham and G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1991).
- [5] G. H. Hardy and H. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 3rd edn., Clarendon Press (Oxford, 1954).
- [6] L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, John Wiley (New York, London, Sydney, Toronto, 1974).
- [7] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, On the distribution of prime numbers in sequences of the form $[f(n)]$, *Math. Sb.*, **33** (1953), 559–566.
- [8] W. Schwarz and J. Spilker, *Arithmetical Functions*, Cambridge Univ. Press (Cambridge, 1994).
- [9] J. Spilker, Die Fastperiodizität der Watson-Funktion, *Arch. Math.*, **74** (2000), 26–29.
- [10] G. L. Watson, On integers n relatively prime to $[an]$, *Can. J. Math.*, **5** (1953), 451–455.

(Received July 14, 2000)

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT
 ECKERSTRASSE 1
 D-79104 FREIBURG
 GERMANY
 E-MAIL: JCP@ARCADE.MATHEMATIK.UNI-FREIBURG.DE
 SPILKER@SUN7.MATHEMATIK.UNI-FREIBURG.DE