Practical Quantum Mechanics from Exactly Solvable Schrödinger Equations, Shape Invariant Potentials, and Supersymmetry with Applications in Stochastics and Nonlinear Evolution Equations for Disaster Description and Traffic Breakdown Propagation

Reinhart Kühne

contents

- Elementary application examples
 -square well potential, δ-potential, and combinations
- Factorization of the Schrödinger equation -creation and annihilation operators, ladder operators
- Transformation to shape invariant potentials
 -algebraic approach, mapping by canonical transformation, Lie
 algebraic methods
- Application examples

-disaster description

-wide moving jams

General concepts in quantum mechanics

Starting point: Newton's law for point mechanics:

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = \frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} m \ddot{\vec{x}} = \vec{F} & \Rightarrow \text{trajectory } x = x(t) \\ \dot{\vec{p}} & -\nabla V \\ \frac{1}{m} \vec{p} \dot{\vec{p}} = -\vec{\hat{x}} \nabla V \text{ or } \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2m} \vec{p}^2 + V \right) = 0$$

equivalent to the conservation law

$$\frac{d}{dt}H = 0 \qquad H = \frac{1}{\underbrace{2m}_{kin.\,energy}}\vec{p}^2 + V = E = const. \quad Hamiltonian$$

Alternative to Newton's law for point mechanics: The Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Sought is a canonical transformation

$$\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k}} = \mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{k}}(\vec{\mathsf{p}}, \vec{\mathsf{x}}, \mathsf{t}) \quad \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{k}} = \mathsf{Q}_{\mathsf{k}}(\vec{\mathsf{p}}, \vec{\mathsf{x}}, \mathsf{t})$$

such that

$$\widetilde{H}(\{P_k\},\{Q_k\},t)=H(\vec{p},\vec{x},t)+\frac{\partial S}{\partial t}=0 \tag{1}$$

This solves the mechanical problem completely and the P_k and Q_k are integrals of the equation of motion

$$\dot{P}_{k} = -\frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial Q_{k}} = 0 \implies P_{k} = \text{const.} \qquad \dot{Q}_{k} = \frac{\partial \tilde{H}}{\partial P_{k}} = 0 \implies Q_{k} = \text{const.}$$

The generating function S for the transformation (1) is the action spectrum with dimension

which has the vivid meaning of a parcel postage rate depending on weight&transport speed&distance

If we insert
$$(\vec{p})_k = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k}$$
 $P_k = \frac{\partial S}{\partial Q_k}$



into equ. (1) we get the **Hamilton-Jacobi differential** equation for the action spectrum S

$$H(p_{k} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_{k}}, x_{k}, t) + \frac{\partial S(x_{k}, P_{k}, t)}{\partial t} = 0$$

For a conservative system for which a potential exists the Hamilton function reads

$$H(\{p_k\},\{x_k\},t) = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V(\vec{x})$$

and the Hamilton-Jacobi differential equation is given by

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{S}}{\partial \mathbf{x}_{k}}\right)^{2} + \mathbf{V}(\left\{\mathbf{x}_{k}\right\})$$

The Hamilton-Jacobische differential equation is a partial differential equation for the f+1 Variables x_k and t (f= number of degrees of freedom). The P_k are constants according to the definition of the action spectrum.

The differential equation is nonlinear and there is no chance to find a general solution (which depends on arbitary functions).

In quantum mechanics we start with the Schrödinger equation, an equation of motion for the wave function ψ with a Hamiltonian formed by a translation rule

Schrödinger equation for the wave function $\boldsymbol{\psi}$

$$i\hbar\dot{\psi} = H\psi$$
 $H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + V$ $\vec{p} \to \frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla$ $V \to V \cdot$

Instead of sharp trajectories $\Omega(t)$, we have now expectation values $\int \psi^* \Omega \psi d^3 x$ formed with the wave function ψ for finding Ω with a certain probability.

Erwin Schrödinger born 1887 in Vienna, Austria 1921 1 year at Univ. Stuttgart!! Regener, Dr., ord. Professor filr Physik

Tofler ofer, & Hysterifiel, Segant for Tyanippe Tyearte Jikar Tingle 11 ST.

1926 Schrödinger equation 1933 Nobel prize 1936 emigration to Dublin Ireland

1944 "What is life"

1956 Return to Vienna

1961 died in Vienna



Reichenbach, A., Dr., Priv. -Dor, für Physik

Melss, X., Mollehrer, Turnlehrer

"Erwin Schrödinger- dyn. Systems"

Wiederholmstr.13, Tel.1470.

Hoppenatr. 7. Tage 124, 7al. 149.

Saucer, a. W. wet hope for Convertigies in Jude po

Excerpt of the Univ. calendar 1921

Bust of Erwin Schrödinger at the Univ. of Vienna

Classical Limit of Quantum Mechanic: Quasi Classical Approximation.

For sufficient large momentum of a particle (small de-Broglie-wavelength) the behavior does not differ from classical mechanics. The limiting process from quantum mechanics to classical mechanics is demonstrated easiest if a wavefunction in the form

$$\psi(\vec{\mathbf{x}},t) = e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}S(\vec{\mathbf{x}},t)}$$

is inserted into the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\dot{\psi} = H\psi \equiv \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + V\right)\psi$$
$$\underbrace{\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} \equiv E_{kin}}_{\vec{E}_{kin}}$$

leading for S(x,t) to

$$-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + V(\vec{x}) - \frac{i\hbar}{2m}\Delta S$$

The comparison with the Hamilton-Jakobi differential equation of classical mechanics

$$-\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + V(\vec{x})$$

with the classical action spectrum S shows similarity in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. (reminder: the trajectories of a classical particle are orthogonal to the plane S=const.) Elementary application examples

- Rectangular potential hole
- Square well potential
- δ-potential
- Potential hole with superimposed δ -wall/hole

Rectangular Potential Hole

The Schrödinger equation for a single particle moving in a one-dimensional rectangular potential hole with normalized width $V(x) = \begin{cases} -C^2 & \text{for} |x| < 1\\ 0 & \text{for} |x| > 1 \end{cases}$

reads



Ground state

The ground state of the Schrödinger equation for a single particle moving in a one-dimensional rectangular potential hole is given by

$$\varepsilon_{0} = k_{0}^{2} - C^{2} \qquad \varphi_{0} = \begin{cases} N_{0} \cos k_{0} x & |x| < 1 \\ N_{0} \cos k_{0} e^{-\kappa_{0}(|x|-1)} & |x| > 1 \end{cases} \\ \kappa_{0} = \sqrt{C^{2} - k_{0}^{2}} \end{cases}$$

under continuity conditions at x=±1. Fitting the continuity conditions at x=±1 for the derivative of ϕ_0 gives

$$\mathbf{k}_0 \tan \mathbf{k}_0 = \mathbf{\kappa}_0 \qquad \mathbf{\kappa}_0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}^2 - \mathbf{k}_0^2}$$

or

$$k_0 = C\cos k_0$$

$$k_0^2 \le k_0 \tan k_0 \le \frac{k_0}{\pi/2 - k_0}$$
 gives

as lower limit

$$k_0^2 \le \kappa_0 \text{ or } \kappa_0 \ge -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + C^2} \approx C^2 - C^4$$

as upper limit

$$k_0 \le \pi/2 \text{ or } \kappa_0 \le \sqrt{C^2 - (\pi/2)^2} \approx |C| - \frac{\pi^2}{8|C|}$$

Summary(C>0)

$$C^2 \le \kappa_0 \le C$$
 κ_0

Exited states

symmetric eigenfunctions

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_{\nu} &= k_{\nu}^{2} - C^{2} \quad \phi_{\nu}^{s} = N_{\nu} \begin{cases} \cos k_{\nu} x & |x| < 1 \\ \cos k_{\nu} e^{-\kappa_{\nu}(|x|-1)} & |x| > 1 \end{cases} & \kappa_{\nu} = \sqrt{C^{2} - k_{\nu}^{2}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, ... \\ \text{asymmetric eigenfunctions} & \\ \epsilon_{\nu} &= k_{\nu}^{2} - C^{2} \quad \phi_{\nu}^{as} = N_{\nu} \begin{cases} \sin k_{\nu} x & |x| < 1 \\ +\sin k_{\nu} e^{-\kappa_{\nu}(|x|-1)} & x > 1 \end{cases} & \kappa_{\nu} = \sqrt{C^{2} - k_{\nu}^{2}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, ... \end{cases}$$

$$-\sin k_{\nu} e^{-\kappa_{\nu}(|x|-1)} x < -1$$

$$\tan k_{\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \qquad k_{\nu} = \arctan \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and for the asymmetric eigenfunctions

$$\tan k_{\nu} = -\frac{k_{\nu}}{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}} \qquad k_{\nu} = -\arctan\frac{k_{\nu}}{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Evaluation of the relations $\tan k_{\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}}$ and $\tan k_{\nu} = -\frac{k_{\nu}}{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}$

The wave numbers k_v in the energy eigenvalues $\varepsilon_v = k_v^2 - C^2$ obey the relations for the symmetric eigenfunctions

$$\tan k_{\nu} = \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \qquad k_{\nu} = \arctan \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} = \nu \pi + \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \qquad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and for the asymmetric eigenfunctions

$$\tan k_{\nu} = -\frac{k_{\nu}}{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}} \qquad k_{\nu} = -\arccos\frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} = (\nu + 1)\pi + \operatorname{Arctan}\frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

with the transformation to the principal value. Both results can be summarized as

$$k_{\nu} = \nu \frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_{\nu}^2}}{k_{\nu}} \quad \text{or} \quad k_{\nu} = C\cos(k_{\nu} - \nu \frac{\pi}{2}) \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, ..., \nu_{\max}$$

including the groundstate wavenumber k_0 . Since $k_v < |C|$ and $\operatorname{Arc} \tan \frac{\sqrt{C - \kappa_v}}{k_u} < \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$v_{\text{max}} = \left[\frac{|\mathbf{C}|}{(\pi/2)}\right]$$
, [...] = largest integer from ...

For $C \ge v\pi/2$ the conditional equation $k_v = v\frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Arccos} \frac{k_v}{|C|}$

simplifies with

$$C = v \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta , \quad \delta \ll 1$$
to

$$k_{\nu} = \nu \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta - \nu \frac{\pi}{4} \delta^2 + \dots = C - \nu \frac{\pi}{4} \delta^2 + \dots$$

which gives for the energy eigenvalues $\varepsilon_v = k_v^2 - C^2 \approx -(v\frac{\pi}{2})^2 \delta^2$

For an infinitely deep potential hole ($C^2 \rightarrow \infty$) the conditional equation has the limit

Arctan
$$\frac{\sqrt{C^2 - k_v^2}}{k_v} \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$$
 and $k_v \rightarrow (v+1)\frac{\pi}{2}$ $v = 0, 1, 2, ...$

which leads to the eigenvalues

$$\varepsilon_{\nu} \rightarrow (\nu+1)^2 (\frac{\pi}{2})^2 - C^2 \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

in accordance with the eigenvalues of the square well potential

The conditional equation for the wavenumbers

 $\cos(k_{\nu} - \nu \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{k_{\nu}}{|C|}$ can be transformed into $k_{\nu} = \begin{cases} |C| \cos k_{\nu} \quad \nu = 0, 4, 8, ... \\ |C| \sin k_{\nu} \quad \nu = 1, 5, 9, ... \\ -|C| \cos k_{\nu} \quad \nu = 2, 6, 10, ... \\ -|C| \sin k_{\nu} \quad \nu = 3, 7, 11, ... \end{cases}$

with

$$v\frac{\pi}{2} \le k_{v} < (v+1)\frac{\pi}{2} \quad v \le v_{\max} \equiv \left[\frac{|C|}{(\pi/2)}\right] \quad \pi/2$$

Results for C²=25

v	νπ/2	k _v	(ν+1)π/2
0	0.0	1.306	1.571
1	1.571	2.596	3.142
2	3.142	3.837	4.712
3	4.712	4.937	6.283





Scattering states

After analyzing the bound states (ground state, excited states) we investigate the scattering states ($\epsilon = k^2 > 0$)

The Schrödinger equation

$$\{-\partial_x^2 + V(x)\} \phi_k(x) = k^2 \phi_k(x) \qquad V(x) = \begin{cases} -C^2 & \text{for } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

is solved in 3 regions: (1) x < -1, (2) -1 < x < 1, and (3) x > 1.

(1) x < -1: $\phi_k(x) = Ie^{ikx} + Re^{-ikx}$

 $\varphi_k(\mathbf{x}) = T e^{ik\mathbf{x}}$

(2) -1 < x < 1: $\phi_k(x) = A e^{i\mu x} + B e^{-i\mu x}$ $\mu = \sqrt{C^2 + k^2}$

(3) x > 1:

only outgoing wave

Since the potential is finite everywhere, both the wave function and its derivative must be continuous everywhere.

At x = -1 these two conditions yield

$$Ie^{-ik} + R e^{ik} = Ae^{-i\mu} + B e^{i\mu}$$

$$ik(Ie^{-ik} - R e^{ik}) = i\mu(Ae^{-i\mu} - B e^{i\mu})$$
at x = 1 we have

$$A e^{i\mu} + B e^{-i\mu} = T e^{ik}$$

$$i\mu(A e^{i\mu} - B e^{-i\mu}) = ikT e^{ik}$$

which can be summarized in matrixform

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\mu} & e^{i\mu} & -e^{ik} & 0 \\ e^{-i\mu} & -e^{i\mu} & \frac{k}{\mu}e^{ik} & 0 \\ e^{i\mu} & e^{-i\mu} & 0 & -e^{ik} \\ e^{i\mu} & -e^{-i\mu} & 0 & -\frac{k}{\mu}e^{ik} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A \\ B \\ R \\ R \\ T \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ie^{-ik} \\ \frac{k}{\mu}Ie^{-ik} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have 4 linear equations for the unknowns R,T, A, and B, so we can express these constants in terms of the amplitude I of the incident wave, which is put to 1 ($C \neq \infty$) for simplicity.

Inserting yields

$$|\mathbf{T}|^{2} = \frac{1}{1 + (\mu^{2} - k^{2})^{2} \sin^{2} 2\mu / 4k^{2}\mu^{2}}$$
$$|\mathbf{R}|^{2} + |\mathbf{T}|^{2} = 1$$

In the limit $C^2 \rightarrow \infty$ T, R, and $I \rightarrow 0$, and there is no scattering solution. In this limit and only the bound states exist

We can write this in original parameters and get

$$\left| \mathbf{T} \right|^{2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\mathbf{C}^{4}}{4k^{2}(\mathbf{C}^{2} + k^{2})} \sin^{2} 2\sqrt{\mathbf{C}^{2} + k^{2}}}$$

The transmission becomes 1 (i.e. no reflection) under the condition

$$2\sqrt{C^2 + k^2} = n\pi$$
 $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$

This phenomenon occurs in the Ramsauer-Townsend effect*), which involves the scattering of electrons off atoms of inert gases. Classical physics predicts that the number of electrons scattered should increase monotonically with their energy, but in fact a minimum is observed for certain electron energies. A model in which the inert gas atom is treated as a finite square well provides a simplified explanation of the effect.

> *) Reference: Griffiths, David J. (2005), Introduction to Quantum Mechanics, 2nd Edition; Pearson Education

24

Factorization

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{The definition } \mathbf{b}_{k_0} = \partial_x + \begin{cases} k_0 \tan k_0 x & \text{for } |\mathbf{x}| < 1 \\ \kappa_0 & \text{for } |\mathbf{x}| > 1 \end{cases} \\ \kappa_0 = \sqrt{C^2 - k_0^2} & \text{gives} \end{cases} \\ \\ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{b}_{k_0}^+ \mathbf{b}_{k_0} = \\ \left(-\partial_x + k_0 \tan k_0 x \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0 \Theta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1) \right) \left(\partial_x + k_0 \tan k_0 x \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0 \Theta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1) \right) \\ = -\partial_x^2 - \left(k_0 \tan k_0 x \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0 \Theta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1) \right)' + \left(k_0 \tan k_0 x \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0 \Theta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1) \right)^2 \\ = -\partial_x^2 - \frac{k_0^2}{\cos^2 k_0 x} \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \underbrace{(-k_0 \tan k_0 x + \kappa_0) \delta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1) \operatorname{sign} x}_{\frac{1}{2}0} \\ + k_0^2 \underbrace{\tan^2 k_0 x}_{\frac{-1}{\cos^2 k_0 x} \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0^2 \Theta(|\mathbf{x}| - 1)}_{= \sqrt{-\epsilon_0}} \\ = -\partial_x^2 \underbrace{-(k_0^2 + \kappa_0^2) \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) + \kappa_0^2}_{= \sqrt{-\epsilon_0}} & \text{with } -k_0 \tan k_0 + \kappa_0 = 0 \\ \text{and allows the decomposition} \\ H - \varepsilon_0 = -\partial_x^2 + V - \varepsilon_0 \equiv \mathbf{b}_{k_0}^+ \mathbf{b}_{k_0} \quad \varepsilon_0 = -\kappa_0^2 \quad V = -(k_0^2 + \kappa_0^2) \Theta(1 - |\mathbf{x}|) \quad ^{25} \end{array}$$

Square-Well Potential

The Schrödinger equation for a single particle moving in a one-dimensional rectangular potential hole shows in the limiting case $C^2 \rightarrow \infty$ no scattering states solutions. We can therefore the potential rescale by adding C^2 and get for a infinitely deep square-well potential of width ℓ

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for} |x| \le \ell \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In dimensionless variables (x'=x/ ℓ , V'=V/($\hbar^2/2m\ell^2$), ϵ =E/($\hbar^2/2m\ell^2$), $\psi'=\psi/V\ell$), ' suppressed) the associated Schrödinger equation reads

$$\left\{-\partial_x^2 + V(x)\right\}\psi_v(x) = \varepsilon_v\psi_v(x) \quad V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & |x| \le 1\\ \infty & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

with the solutions
$$\left[N \sin k^{as}x\right]$$

$$\psi_{v} = \begin{cases} N_{v} \sin k_{v}^{a} x \\ N_{v} \cos k_{v}^{s} x \end{cases} \quad |x| \le 1 \qquad \psi_{v} = 0 \quad \text{else} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_{v} = (k_{v}^{as,s})^{2}$$

Fitting the boundary conditions gives

$$\psi_{\nu}(1) = 0 \Longrightarrow k_{\nu}^{as} = \nu \pi \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3...; \quad k_{\nu}^{s} = (\nu + \frac{1}{2})\pi \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2...$$
$$\varepsilon_{\nu} = (k_{\nu}^{as,s})^{2} = (\nu + 1)^{2} (\frac{\pi}{2})^{2} \qquad \nu = 0, 1, 2, ...$$

Factorization gives $-\partial_x^2 + V(x) - \varepsilon_0 = -\partial_x^2 - (\frac{\pi}{2})^2 = L^+ L^- = (-\partial_x + \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\pi}{2} x)(\partial_x + \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\pi}{2} x)$

$$= -\partial_{x}^{2} + (\frac{\pi}{2})^{2} \underbrace{\tan^{2} \frac{\pi}{2} x}_{-\frac{1}{\cos^{2} \frac{\pi}{2} x}^{-1}} - \frac{\pi}{2} \underbrace{\tan' \frac{\pi}{2} x}_{-\frac{\pi}{2} \cos^{2} \frac{\pi}{2} x}^{-\frac{\pi}{2} - (\frac{\pi}{2})^{2}} = -\partial_{x}^{2} - (\frac{\pi}{2})^{2}$$

Generalization of the decomposition gives

$$L_{\gamma}^{+}L_{\gamma}^{-} \equiv (-\partial_{x} + \alpha\gamma \tan \alpha x)(\partial_{x} + \alpha\gamma \tan \alpha x) = -\partial_{x}^{2} - \alpha\gamma \tan' \alpha x + \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2} \tan^{2} \frac{\pi}{2} x = -\partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{\alpha^{2}\gamma(\gamma - 1)}{\cos^{2} x} - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2}$$

with the generalized Hamiltonian



Ladder operators

The commutation relation

$$b_{\gamma}b_{\gamma}^{+} = (\partial_{x} + \alpha\gamma\tan\alpha x)(-\partial_{x} + \alpha\gamma\tan\alpha x) = -\partial_{x}^{2} + \alpha\gamma\tan^{\prime}\alpha x + \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2}\tan^{2}\alpha x = -\partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{\alpha^{2}\gamma(\gamma+1)}{\cos^{2}x} - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2}$$

$$b_{\gamma+1}^{+}b_{\gamma+1} = (-\partial_{x} + \alpha(\gamma+1)\tan\alpha x)(\partial_{x} + \alpha(\gamma+1)\tan\alpha x) = -\partial_{x}^{2} - \alpha(\gamma+1)\tan^{\prime}\alpha x + \alpha^{2}(\gamma+1)^{2}\tan^{2}\alpha x$$

$$= -\partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{\alpha^{2}\gamma(\gamma+1)}{\cos^{2}x} - \alpha^{2}(\gamma+1)^{2} = b_{\gamma}b_{\gamma}^{+} - \alpha^{2}(2\gamma+1)$$

introduced into the Schrödinger equation with the generalized potential gives for $\gamma \to \gamma + 1$

$$(H(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}(\gamma + 1)^{2})\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}(\gamma + 1)^{2})\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \qquad |b_{\gamma}^{+}| \\ b_{\gamma}^{+} \underbrace{b_{\gamma+1}^{+} b_{\gamma+1}}_{b_{\gamma}b_{\gamma}^{+} - \underline{\alpha^{2}(2\gamma + 1)}} \psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}(\gamma + 1)^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) \\ (H(\gamma) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) = (\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma + 1) - \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2})b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma + 1)$$

comparison with $(H(\gamma) - \alpha^2 \gamma^2) \psi_{\nu+1}(\gamma) = (\varepsilon_{\nu+1}(\gamma) - \alpha^2 \gamma^2) \psi_{\nu+1}(\gamma)$

gives
$$\varepsilon_{\nu}(\gamma+1) = \varepsilon_{\nu+1}(\gamma)$$
 and $\psi_{\nu+1}(\gamma) \sim b_{\gamma}^{+}\psi_{\nu}(\gamma+1)$

starting with the ground state

gives

$$b_{\gamma}\psi_{0}(\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{resp.} \quad \varepsilon_{0}(\gamma) = \alpha^{2}\gamma^{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{1}(\gamma) = \varepsilon_{0}(\gamma + 1) = \alpha^{2}(\gamma + 1)^{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{2}(\gamma) = \varepsilon_{1}(\gamma + 1) = \alpha^{2}(\gamma + 2)^{2}$$

$$\varepsilon_{v}(\gamma) = \alpha^{2}(\gamma + v)^{2}$$

....

or for $\gamma=1$

$$\varepsilon_{\nu}(1) = \alpha^2 (\nu + 1)^2$$

and the ladder operator representation reads

$$\begin{split} \psi_1(\gamma) &\sim b_{\gamma}^+ \psi_0(\gamma+1) \\ \psi_2(\gamma) &\sim b_{\gamma}^+ \psi_1(\gamma+1) \sim b_{\gamma}^+ b_{\gamma+1}^+ \psi_0(\gamma+2) \\ & \dots \\ \psi_{\nu}(\gamma) &\sim b_{\gamma}^+ b_{\gamma+1}^+ \cdot \dots \cdot b_{\gamma+\nu-1}^+ \psi_0(\gamma+\nu) \end{split}$$

The Schrödinger equation for a particle in the hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller potential reads (first for the scattering states)

$$\varepsilon = k^{2} > 0 \qquad (-\partial_{x}^{2} - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\cosh^{2} x})\psi = k^{2}\psi \qquad \lambda > 0$$

$$\left(-\partial_{x}^{2} + \frac{-\lambda^{2}}{\cosh^{2} x} + \frac{-\lambda}{\cosh^{2} x} - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\cosh^{2} x}\right)\psi = k^{2}\psi$$

$$\left(\underbrace{(-\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{th} x)}_{\Omega^{+}}\underbrace{(\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{th} x)}_{\Omega} - \lambda^{2}\right)\psi = k^{2}\psi$$

32

For the scattering states ($\varepsilon > 0$) only the asymptotic behavior is considered. $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ gives thx \rightarrow signx

$$\Omega^{+}\Omega \rightarrow (-\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{signx})(\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{signx})$$
$$= -\partial_{x}^{2} - \lambda (\operatorname{signx})' + \lambda^{2} = -\partial_{x}^{2} - 2\lambda\delta(x) + \lambda^{2}$$

and the Schrödinger equation has the asymptotic form

$$\left(-\partial_{x}^{2}-2\lambda\delta(x)\right)\psi=k^{2}\psi$$

with the solution

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \psi^{s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(k|x| - \alpha_{k}) \\ \psi^{as} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin kx \end{cases} \quad \tan \alpha_{k} = k / \lambda \end{cases}$$

Excursus: Schrödinger equation for the hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller potential The general solution is a linear combination

 $\psi = c\psi^{s} + d\psi^{as}$

We want a solution with the asymptotic form

$$\psi = \begin{cases} e^{ikx} + Re^{-ikx} & x < 0 \\ Te^{ikx} & x > 0 \end{cases}$$

•		
1		Δ
T	•	U .

$$e^{ikx} + Re^{-ikx} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{i(-kx-\alpha_k)} - e^{-i(-kx-\alpha_k)}}{2i} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{ikx} - e^{-ikx}}{2i}$$
$$Te^{ikx} = \frac{c}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{i(kx-\alpha_k)} - e^{-i(kx-\alpha_k)}}{2i} + \frac{d}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{ikx} - e^{-ikx}}{2i}$$

Comparison of the coefficients of e^{ikx} and e^{-ikx} results in



T and R can be computed from these equations to

$$\mathbf{R} = e^{-i\alpha_k} \cos\alpha_k \qquad \mathbf{T} = i e^{-i\alpha_k} \sin\alpha_k$$

satisfying the conservation law

$$\left|\mathbf{T}\right|^{2}+\left|\mathbf{R}\right|^{2}=1$$

For the bound states ($\varepsilon_n < 0$) the Schrödinger equation for the hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller potential is given by

$$H(\lambda)\psi_{n} \equiv (-\partial_{x}^{2} - \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\cosh^{2} x})\psi_{n} = \varepsilon_{n}\psi_{n} \qquad \lambda > 0$$

$$(-\partial_{x}^{2} + \lambda^{2}(1 - \frac{1}{\cosh^{2} x}) - \frac{\lambda}{\cosh^{2} x} - \lambda^{2})\psi_{n} = \varepsilon_{n}\psi_{n}$$

$$(\underbrace{(-\partial_{x} + \lambda \th x)}_{\Omega^{+}(\lambda)} \underbrace{(\partial_{x} + \lambda \th x)}_{\Omega(\lambda)} - \lambda^{2})\psi_{n} = \varepsilon_{n}\psi_{n}$$

The decomposition into 2 hermitian conjugate factors was done with regard to a ground state for which a norm is possible and enables the estimation $\lambda^2 + \varepsilon_n \ge 0$ 36

From the estimation follows for the ground state which can be normalized

$$\varepsilon_0 = -\lambda^2$$
 or $\Omega \psi_0 = 0 \rightarrow \psi_0 = N \cosh^{-\lambda} x \quad \lambda > 0$

Changing $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda^{-1}$ in the Schrödinger equation gives

$$H(\lambda - 1)\psi_{n}(\lambda - 1) = \varepsilon_{n}(\lambda - 1)\psi_{n}(\lambda - 1) \qquad |\Omega^{+}(\lambda) \cdot$$

Multiplying with $\Omega^+(\lambda)$ from left gives

$$\Omega^{+}(\lambda)(\Omega^{+}(\lambda-1)\Omega(\lambda-1)-(\lambda-1)^{2})\psi_{n}(\lambda-1) = \varepsilon_{n}(\lambda-1)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1)$$

Inserting the commutation relation $\Omega^{+}(\lambda - 1)\Omega(\lambda - 1) - \Omega(\lambda)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)$ $= (-\partial_{x} + (\lambda - 1) \operatorname{thx})(\partial_{x} + (\lambda - 1) \operatorname{thx}) - (\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{thx})(-\partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{thx})$ $= -2\lambda + 1$

Excursus: Schrödinger equation for the
hyperbolic Pöschl-Teller potential
$$D^{+}(\lambda)(\underbrace{\Omega^{+}(\lambda-1)\Omega(\lambda-1)}_{\Omega(\lambda)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)-2\lambda+1} - (\lambda-1)^{2})\psi_{n}(\lambda-1) = \varepsilon_{n}(\lambda-1)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1)$$
$$\underbrace{\left(\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\Omega(\lambda) - \lambda^{2}\right)}_{H(\lambda)}\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1) = \varepsilon_{n}(\lambda-1)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1)$$

gives $H(\lambda)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1) = \varepsilon_{n}(\lambda-1)\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1)$

Comparison with

<u>(</u>

$$H(\lambda)\psi_{n+1}(\lambda) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(\lambda)\psi_{n+1}(\lambda)$$
 yields to

$$\varepsilon_{n}(\lambda-1) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(\lambda) \qquad \psi_{n+1}(\lambda) \sim \Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{n}(\lambda-1)$$

Starting with the ground state gives

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{0} &= -\lambda^{2} \\ \varepsilon_{1} &= -(\lambda - 1)^{2} \\ \cdots \\ \varepsilon_{n} &= -(\lambda - n)^{2} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n_{max} = [\lambda]_{unambiguousness}^{\text{for}} \end{aligned}$$

and allows the ladder representation

$$\begin{split} \psi_{1}(\lambda) &\sim \Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{0}(\lambda-1) \\ \psi_{2}(\lambda) &\sim \Omega^{+}(\lambda)\psi_{1}(\lambda-1) \sim \Omega^{+}(\lambda)\Omega^{+}(\lambda-1)\psi_{0}(\lambda-2) \\ & \dots \\ \psi_{n}(\lambda) &\sim \underbrace{\Omega^{+}(\lambda)\tilde{\Omega}^{+}(\lambda-1)\dots\tilde{\Omega}^{+}(\lambda-n+1)}_{n \, factors}\psi_{0}(\lambda-n) \end{split}$$

Comparison of energy eigenvalues and potential shape for the potential well and the Pöschl-Teller potential

(example shown for C² =
$$\lambda(\lambda+1)$$
 = 25 or $\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}} + C^2 = 4.525$)


Synopsis of potential well and Pöschl-Teller potential



Potential well	Pöschl-Teller potential		
Factorization			
$H \equiv b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0}^ \kappa_0^2 V = -\frac{C^2}{k_0^2 + \kappa_0^2} \Theta(1 - \mathbf{x})$ $b_{k_0}^- = \partial_x^- + k_0^- \tan k_0^- \mathbf{x} \ \Theta(1 - \mathbf{x}) + \kappa_0^- \Theta(\mathbf{x} - 1)$	$H = \Omega^{+} \Omega - \lambda^{2} V = -\frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\cosh^{2} x}$ $\Omega = \partial_{x} + \lambda \operatorname{th} x \lambda > 0$		
Area under potential hump			
$A \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-V(x)) dx = \int_{-1}^{+1} C^2 dx = 2 C^2$	$A \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-V(x)) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\cosh^2 x} dx = 2\lambda(\lambda+1)$		
Ground state			
$b_{k_0}\phi_0 = 0 \Longrightarrow$	$\Omega \phi_0 = 0 \Longrightarrow$		
$\phi_0 = N \cos \kappa_0 x \Theta(1 - x) + N \cos \kappa_0 e^{-\sqrt{C^2 - k_0^2} (x - 1)} \Theta(x - 1)$	$\varphi_0 = \frac{1}{\cosh^\lambda x}$		
$\varepsilon_0 = -\kappa_0^2 = -(C^2 - k_0^2) = -C^2 \sin^2 k_0$	$\varepsilon_0 = -\lambda^2$ 42		

Potential well

Pöschl-Teller potential

Conditional equation for ground state eigenvalue

 $\epsilon_{0} = k_{0}^{2} - C^{2}$ $k_{0} \tan k_{0} = \sqrt{C^{2} - k_{0}^{2}} \text{ or } \cos k_{0} = \frac{k_{0}}{C}$

Bound states eigenvalues

$$k_{\nu} = \nu \frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{\sqrt{C^{2} - k_{\nu}^{2}}}{k_{\nu}} \text{ or } \frac{k_{\nu}}{C} = \cos(k_{\nu} - \nu \frac{\pi}{2})$$

$$\varepsilon_{\nu} = k_{\nu}^{2} - C^{2} = -C^{2} \sin^{2}(k_{\nu} - \nu \frac{\pi}{2}) \quad \nu = 0, 1, ..., \left[\frac{|C|}{(\pi/2)}\right] \qquad \varepsilon_{\nu} = -(\lambda - \nu)^{2} \quad \nu = 0, 1, 2, ..., [\lambda]$$

Scattering states eigenfunctions and eigenvalues

δ-potential

The Schrödinger equation for a particle in a δ -potential reads



with natural boundary condition($\phi(x \rightarrow \pm \infty) = 0$) we get

ground state $\phi_0 = \sqrt{\beta} e^{-\beta |x|}$ $\epsilon_0 = -\beta^2$

scattering states $\phi_k^s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(k|x| - \alpha_k)$ $\phi_k^{as} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin kx$ $\varepsilon_k = k^2 \quad \tan \alpha_k = k / \beta \quad k > 0$

Factorization

Decomposition

$$b = \partial_{x} + \beta \operatorname{sign} x \qquad b^{+} = -\partial_{x} + \beta \operatorname{sign} x$$
$$b^{+}b = -\partial_{x}^{2} - (\beta \operatorname{sign} x)' + (\beta \operatorname{sign} x)^{2}$$
$$= -\partial_{x}^{2} - 2\beta \delta(x) + \beta^{2}$$

gives

$$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{b}^+ \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{\beta}^2$$

From this it follows

$$\varepsilon \ge -\beta^2$$

and for the ground state

$$\varepsilon_0 = -\beta^2 \quad b \phi_0 = 0 \implies \phi_0 = N e^{-\beta |x|}$$

Schrödinger equation for infinite square well with superimposed δ -wall/hole in the middle

The Schrödinger equation for a infinite square well with a δ -potential in the middle reads



Eigenfunctions and Eigenvalues

The eigenfunctions, which automatically fulfill the infinite wall boundary conditions, are

ground state
$$\phi_{0} = \begin{cases} N_{0} & \sin k_{0}^{s} \left(|x| - x_{c} \right) \\ N_{0} & \sinh \kappa_{0}^{s} \left(|x| - x_{c} \right) \end{cases} \qquad \epsilon_{0} = \begin{cases} k_{0}^{s^{2}} + \beta^{2} & \beta x_{c} > -1 \\ -\kappa_{0}^{s^{2}} + \beta^{2} & \beta x_{c} < -1 \end{cases}$$

excited states

$$\phi_{\nu}^{s} = N_{\nu} \sin k_{\nu}^{s} (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_{c}) \qquad \varepsilon_{\nu} = \beta^{2} + k_{\nu}^{s^{2}} \qquad \nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

$$\phi_{\nu}^{as} = N_{\nu} \sin k_{\nu}^{as} \mathbf{x} \qquad \varepsilon_{\nu} = \beta^{2} + k_{\nu}^{as2} \qquad k_{\nu}^{as} = \frac{\nu \pi}{\mathbf{x}_{c}} \qquad \nu = 1, 2, 3, ...$$

The remaining boundary condition is the jump condition $-\phi'(0+) + \phi'(0-) + 2\beta\phi(0) = 0$ which has with $\phi'^{as,s}(0+) = \pm \phi'^{as,s}(0-)$ the simple form $\phi'(0+) - \beta\phi(0) = 0$ and acts only on the symmetric states

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{tan } k_0^s x_c = -\frac{k_0^s}{\beta} & \beta x_c > -1 \\ \mbox{tan } \kappa_0^s x_c = -\frac{\kappa_0^s}{\beta} & \beta x_c < -1 \\ \mbox{tan } \kappa_0^s x_c = -\frac{\kappa_0^s}{\beta} & \beta x_c < -1 \\ \mbox{excited states} & \mbox{tan } k_v^s x_c = -\frac{k_v^s}{\beta} & \nu = 1, 2, 3, ... \\ \mbox{using the principal value} & k_v^s x_c = (\nu + \frac{1}{2})\pi + \arctan{(\beta/k_v^s)} \end{array}$$

47

Eigenfunction expansion in the limiting case $x_c \rightarrow \infty$, $\beta < 0$

The limiting case $x_c {\rightarrow}{\,}^{{\color{black} o{\color{black} o{\color blach o{black} o{\color{black} o{\color{b$

$$\varphi_0 = N_0 \sinh \kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c) \rightarrow \frac{N_0}{2} e^{-\kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c)} = \tilde{N}_0 e^{-|\beta||\mathbf{x}|} \qquad \varepsilon_0 = -\kappa_0^{s^2} + \beta^2 \rightarrow 0$$

and for the symmetric scattering states

$$\varphi_{\nu}^{s} = N_{\nu} \sin k_{\nu}^{s} \left(\left| x \right| - x_{c} \right) \qquad k_{\nu}^{s} x_{c} = \left(\nu + \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi + \arctan\left(\beta / k_{\nu}^{s} \right) \rightarrow \nu \pi \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$
$$\varphi_{\nu}^{s} \rightarrow N_{\nu} \cos k_{\nu}^{s} x \qquad k_{\nu}^{s} \rightarrow \frac{\nu \pi}{2x_{c}} \qquad \varepsilon_{\nu} = \beta^{2} + k_{\nu}^{s2} \qquad \nu = 1, 3, 5, \dots$$

as well as for the antisymmetric scattering states

$$\phi_{\nu}^{as} = N_{\nu} \sin k_{\nu}^{as} x$$
 $k_{\nu}^{as} = \frac{\nu \pi}{2x_{c}}$ $\varepsilon_{\nu} = \beta^{2} + k_{\nu}^{as2}$ $\nu = 2, 4, 6, ...$

Wave numbers k_{ν}^{s} of the symmetric eigenfunctions φ_{ν}^{s} fulfilling the boundary conditions



eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation



Factorization of the Schrödinger equation with the infinite square well potential and a superimposed δ -wall/hole in the middle

$$H = -\partial_x^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta\delta(x) \quad \text{for} |x| < x_c \quad \text{with} \begin{cases} \phi_v(\pm x_c) = 0\\ (\partial_x - \beta)\phi_v(x)|_{x=0+} = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $\beta x_c > -1$ gives

$$\varepsilon_0 = k_0^2 + \beta^2 \qquad H - \varepsilon_0 = -\partial_x^2 - k_0^2 + 2\beta \,\delta(x) \equiv b_{k_0}^+ \, b_{k_0}$$
$$b_{k_0} = \partial_x - k_0 \cot k_0 (|x| - x_c) \operatorname{sign} x$$

similar expansion of $b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0}^-$ gives

$$b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0} = -\partial_x^2 + (k_0 \cot k_0 (|x| - x_c) \operatorname{sign} x)' + (k_0 \cot k_0 (|x| - x_c) \operatorname{sign} x)^2$$

The expansion of $b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0}^-$ finally gives

$$b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0} = -\partial_x^2 + k_0^2 \left(-1 - \cot^2 k_0 (|x| - x_c) \right) + 2k_0 \cot k_0 (|x| - x_c) \delta(x) + k_0^2 \cot^2 k_0 (|x| - x_c) = -\partial_x^2 - k_0^2 - 2k_0 \cot k_0 x_c \delta(x)$$

comparison with the original gives the conditional equation for the wave number k_0

$$\cot k_0 x_c = -\frac{\beta}{k_0}$$
 resp. $\tan k_0 x_c = -\frac{k_0}{\beta}$

 $\beta x_{c} < -1 \text{ gives}$ $\epsilon_{0} = -\kappa_{0}^{2} + \beta^{2} \quad H - \epsilon_{0} = -\partial_{x}^{2} + \kappa_{0}^{2} + 2\beta \delta(x) \equiv b_{\kappa_{0}}^{+} \quad b_{\kappa_{0}}$ $b_{\kappa_{0}} = \partial_{x} - \kappa_{0} \coth \kappa_{0} (|x| - x_{c}) \operatorname{sign} x$ Expansion of $b_{\kappa_{0}}^{+} b_{\kappa_{0}}$ gives

$$b_{\kappa_0}^+ b_{\kappa_0} = -\partial_x^2 + (\kappa_0 \coth \kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c) \operatorname{sign} \mathbf{x})' + (\kappa_0 \coth \kappa_0 (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c) \operatorname{sign} \mathbf{x})^2$$

= $-\partial_x^2 + \kappa_0^2 (1 - \coth^2 \kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c))$
+ $2\kappa_0 \coth \kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c) \delta(\mathbf{x}) + \kappa_0^2 \coth^2 \kappa_0 (|\mathbf{x}| - \mathbf{x}_c)$
= $-\partial_x^2 + \kappa_0^2 - 2\kappa_0 \coth \kappa_0 \mathbf{x}_c \delta(\mathbf{x})$

this is in accordance with the original for

$$\coth \kappa_0 \mathbf{x}_c = -\frac{\beta}{\kappa_0}$$

which again is the condition for the wave number κ_0 to fulfill the boundary conditions

Summary of the Factorization procedure for the Schrödinger equation

The factorization procedure of the elementary Schrödinger equation application examples can be summarized as follows

We start with the decomposition

$$(\mathbf{H} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{v})\boldsymbol{\phi}_{v} \equiv (-\partial_{x}^{2} + \mathbf{V}_{s} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{v})\boldsymbol{\phi}_{v} = (\Omega^{+}\Omega - (\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{v} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{0}))\boldsymbol{\phi}_{v} = 0 \qquad \Omega = \partial_{x} + \mathbf{W}$$

The selfadjoint form gives the inequality

$$\varepsilon_{v} > \varepsilon_{0}$$
 with $\Omega \phi_{0} = 0 \implies W = -\frac{\phi_{0}'}{\phi_{0}}$ or $-W' + W^{2} = V_{S} - \varepsilon_{0}$

where ϕ_0 is the ground state and ϵ_0 is the corresponding ground state energy.

The results of the factorization for the elementary examples are put together in the adjacent table.

elementary	ground	factorization	eigenvalues
example	state		bound states
square well potential	$\psi_0 = \begin{cases} N_0 \cos k_0^s x & x \le 1\\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$	$H = b^{+} b$ $b = \partial_{x} + \frac{\pi}{2} \tan \frac{\pi}{2} x$	$\varepsilon_{\nu} = (1+\nu)^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^2$
$V = \begin{cases} 0 & x < 1 \\ +\infty & else \end{cases}$			
rectangular potential hole $V = \begin{cases} -C^2 x < 1\\ 0 x > 1 \end{cases}$	$\varphi_0 = N_0 \begin{cases} \cos k_0 x \\ \cos k_0 e^{-\kappa_0 (\mathbf{x} - 1)} \end{cases}$ $\kappa_0 = \sqrt{\mathbf{C}^2 - \mathbf{k}_0^2}$	$H = b_{k_0}^{+} b_{k_0} + \varepsilon_0$ $b_{k_0} = \partial_x + \begin{cases} \sqrt{C^2 - k_0^2} & x > 1 \\ k_0 \tan k_0 x & x < 1 \end{cases}$ $k_0 \tan k_0 = \sqrt{C^2 - k_0^2}$	$\varepsilon_{v} = k_{v}^{2} - C^{2}$ $k_{v} = v \frac{\pi}{2}$ $+ \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{\sqrt{C^{2} - k_{v}^{2}}}{k_{v}}$
			55

elementary	ground	factorization	eigenvalues
example	state		bound states
δ-potential	$\varphi_0 = N e^{-\beta \mathbf{x} }$	$H = b^{+}b + \varepsilon_{0}$ $b = \partial_{x} + \beta \operatorname{sign} x$	$\varepsilon_0 = -\beta^2$
$V = -2\beta\delta(x)$			
square well with δ - wall/hole $V = \begin{cases} \beta^2 + 2\beta \delta(x) x < 1\\ +\infty & x > 1 \end{cases}$	$\beta x_{c} > -1$ $\phi_{0} = N_{0} \sin k_{0}^{s} (\mathbf{x} - x_{c})$ $\beta x_{c} < -1$ $\phi_{0} = N_{0} \sinh \kappa_{0}^{s} (\mathbf{x} - x_{c})$	$H \equiv b_{k_0}^+ b_{k_0} + \varepsilon_0$ $b_{k_0} = \partial_x - k_0 \operatorname{cot} k_0 (\mathbf{x} - 1) \operatorname{sign} \mathbf{x}$	$\varepsilon_{0} = \begin{cases} -\kappa_{0}^{2} + \beta^{2} & \beta x_{c} > -1 \\ k_{0}^{2} + \beta^{2} & \beta x_{c} < -1 \end{cases}$ $\varepsilon_{v} = k_{v}^{2} + \beta^{2}$ $k_{v} = (v + \frac{1}{2})\pi$ $+ \operatorname{Arctan} \frac{\beta}{k_{v}} v = 1, 2, \dots$ 56



r

Summary of further exactly solvable potentials (I)

name	formula	factorization	eigenvalues
3D harm.	$V(r) = \frac{1}{4}r^2$	$H(\ell) = b^+(\ell)b(\ell) + \ell + \frac{3}{2}$	$\varepsilon_{n}(\ell) = 2n + \ell + \frac{3}{2}$
oscillator	$+\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2}$	$\mathbf{b}(\ell) = \partial_{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{r} - \frac{\ell+1}{\mathbf{r}}$	
Coulomb	$V(r) = -\frac{2}{2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2}$	$H(\ell) = b^{+}(\ell)b(\ell) - \frac{1}{(\ell+1)^{2}}$	$\varepsilon_{n}(\ell) = -\frac{1}{(n+\ell+1)^{2}}$
potentiai	r r	$\mathbf{b}(\ell) = \partial_{\mathbf{r}} - \frac{\ell+1}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{1}{\ell+1}$	$(\Pi + \ell + I)$
Kratzer	$-2\gamma \lambda(\lambda-1)$	$H(\lambda) = b^{+}(\lambda)b(\lambda) - \frac{\gamma^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}$	$\varepsilon_{v}(\lambda) = -\frac{\gamma^{2}}{(v+\lambda)^{2}}$
potential	$V(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{x^2}$	$b(\lambda) = \partial_x - \frac{\lambda}{x} + \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}$	
Morse	$V(x) = e^{-2x} - 2ye^{-x}$	$H(\gamma) = b^{+}(\gamma)b(\gamma) - (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})^{2}$	$\varepsilon_{v}(\gamma) = -(\gamma - \frac{1}{2} - v)^{2}$
potential		$b(\gamma) = \partial_x - e^{-x} + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}$	80



trigon. Pöschl Teller potential

hyperbolic (modified) Pöschl Teller potential



Summary of further exactly solvable potentials (II)

name	formula	factorization	eigenvalues
папіс	TOTITUIA	Tactorization	cigenvalues
trig.	$V(x) = \frac{\mu(\mu - 1)}{2}$	$H(\mu,\gamma) = B^{+}_{\mu,\gamma}B^{-}_{\mu,\gamma}$	$\varepsilon_{\nu}(\mu,\gamma) = (\mu + \gamma + 2\nu)^{2}$
Dischi Tallar	$\sin^2 x$	$+(\mu + \gamma)^2$	
Poschi-Tener	$\gamma(\gamma-1)$	$\mathbf{B}_{\mu,\gamma} = \partial_{\mathbf{x}} - \mu \cot x$	
potential	$+ \frac{1}{\cos^2 x}$	$+\gamma \tan x$	
hyperb.	$\lambda(\lambda+1)$	$\mathrm{H}(\lambda)\!=\! ilde{\Omega}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}(\lambda) ilde{\Omega}(\lambda)$	$\varepsilon = -(\lambda - 1 - n)^2$
Pöschl-Teller	$V(x) = -\frac{r(x-1)}{\cosh^2 x}$	$-(\lambda-1)^2$	$\mathbf{C}_{n} = (\mathbf{N} \mathbf{I} \mathbf{I})$
potential		$\tilde{\Omega}(\lambda) = \partial_x + (\lambda - 1) \operatorname{th} x$	
Hulthén	1	$H = b^+ b - (\frac{\beta^2 - 1}{2})^2$	$\beta = -\left(\frac{\beta^2}{1-\alpha}-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^2$
potential	$V(x) = -\beta^2 \frac{1}{x}$	2	$c_n = (2(n+1)) (2)$
potentiai	e [*] -1	$b = \partial_x - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{x}{2} + \frac{\beta^2}{2}$	
Eckart	$V(x) = -2B \operatorname{coth} x$	$H(\Delta) = \Omega^+ \Omega \Delta^2 = \frac{B^2}{B^2}$	$\varepsilon_n = -(A+n)^2$
notential		$\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{A}} \qquad \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{A}^2}$	B^2
potentiai	$+\frac{A(A-1)}{\sinh^2 x}$	$\Omega_{A} = \partial_{x} - A \coth x + \frac{B}{A}$	$-\frac{1}{(A+n)^2}$ 82





Summary of further exactly solvable potentials (III)

name	formula	factorization	eigenvalues
trig. Scarf potential	$V = -A^{2} + \frac{B^{2} + A^{2} - A}{\cos^{2} x}$ $+ B(2A - 1)\frac{\tan x}{\cos x}$	$H(A) = b_A^+ b_A$ $b_A = \partial_x + A \tan x + \frac{B}{\cos x}$	$\varepsilon_{v}(A) = (A + v)^{2} - A^{2}$
hyp. Scarf potential	$V = A^{2} + \frac{B^{2} - A^{2} - A}{\cosh^{2} x}$ $+ B(2A+1)\frac{\tanh x}{\cosh x}$	$H(A) = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+} \tilde{b}_{A}$ $\tilde{b}_{A} = \partial_{x} + A \tanh x + \frac{B}{\cosh x}$	$\varepsilon_{\nu}(A) = -(A - \nu)^2 + A^2$
trig. Rosen- Morse potential	$V = -A^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{A^{2}}$ $+ \frac{A^{2} - A}{\sin^{2} x} + 2B \cot x$	$H(A) = b_A^+ b_A$ $b_A = \partial_x - A \cot x - \frac{B}{A}$	$\epsilon_{v}(A) = (A + v)^{2} - A^{2}$ $-B^{2} \left(\frac{1}{(A + v)^{2}} - \frac{1}{A^{2}} \right)$
hyp. Rosen- Morse potential	$V = A^{2} + \frac{B^{2}}{A^{2}}$ $-\frac{A^{2} + A}{\cosh^{2} x} + 2B \tanh x$	$H = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+} \tilde{b}_{A}$ $\tilde{b}_{A} = \partial_{x} + A \tanh x + \frac{B}{A}$	$\epsilon_{v}(A) = A^{2} - (A - v)^{2} + B^{2} \left(\frac{1}{A^{2}} - \frac{1}{(A - v)^{2}} \right)$ 84

Generalization for the group of all shape invariant potentials Generally all Schrödinger equations with shape invariant potentials can be solved algebraically and are characterized by the property

$$V_{-}(x,a_{2}) = V_{+}(x,a_{1}) + \Theta$$

where a_1 and a_2 are 2 parameters, Θ is a constant eventually depending on a_1 and/or a_2 , and V_{\pm} are amenable to the Ricatti equation $V_{\pm}(x,a) = W^2(x,a) \pm \frac{\partial W(x,a)}{\partial x}$

The Hamiltonian of the considered Schrödinger equation reads $H(a) = -\partial_x^2 + V_-(x, a)$ $= (-\partial_x + W(x, a))(\partial_x + W(x, a)) = B^+(a)B(a)$ The substitution $a_1 \rightarrow a_2$ in the original Hamiltonian gives

$$H(a_2) = -\partial_x^2 + V_-(x, a_2) = B^+(a_2)B(a_2)$$
$$= -\partial_x^2 + W^2(x, a_2) - \frac{\partial W(x, a_2)}{\partial x}$$

Inserting the property of shape invariant potentials yields

$$H(a_2) = -\partial_x^2 + W^2(x, a_1) + \frac{\partial W(x, a_1)}{\partial x} + \Theta$$
$$= B(a_1)B^+(a_1) + \Theta$$

Multiplying the starting Schrödinger equation $H(a_2)\psi = \varepsilon_v(a_2)\psi$ from left with B⁺(a₁) gives

$$B^{+}(a_{1})H(a_{2})\psi_{\nu}(a_{2})$$

= B^{+}(a_{1})\underbrace{B^{+}(a_{2})B(a_{2})}_{B(a_{1})B^{+}(a_{1})+\Theta}\psi_{\nu}(a_{2}) = B^{+}(a_{1})\varepsilon_{\nu}(a_{2})\psi_{\nu}(a_{2})

86

Thus inserting of the property of the shape invariant potentials yields

$$B^{+}(a_{1})H(a_{2})\psi_{v}(a_{2}) = (B^{+}(a_{1})B(a_{1}) + \Theta)\underbrace{B^{+}(a_{1})\psi_{v}(a_{2})}_{\sim\psi_{v+1}(a_{1})} = \varepsilon_{v}(a_{2})\underbrace{B^{+}(a_{1})\psi_{v}(a_{2})}_{\sim\psi_{v+1}(a_{1})}$$

which can be summarized as

 $\varepsilon_{\nu+1}(a_1) + \Theta = \varepsilon_{\nu}(a_2) \qquad \qquad \psi_{\nu+1}(a_1) \sim B^+(a_1) \psi_{\nu}(a_2)$

allowing the inaugurated ladder array in case of discrete eigenstates

Relations among shape invariant potentials

- algebraic approach
- mapping by canonical transformation
- Lie algebraic methods

Factorization of the Schrödinger equation as central module for exact solutions, supersymmetry, shape invariance, group theory, and coherent states in quantum mechanics



The relation to generate the energy eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation for supersymmetric, shape invariant potentials can be summarized as a Lie algebra approach

The Lie algebra uses a calculus, which starts with the commutation relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} L_{i}, L_{j} \end{bmatrix} = \varepsilon_{ijk} L_{k} \quad \varepsilon_{ijk} = \text{Levi} - \text{symbol} = \begin{cases} i, j, k \in [1, 2, 3] \\ +1 \text{ for } i, j, k \text{ even permutation of } 1, 2, 3 \\ -1 \text{ for } i, j, k \text{ odd permutation of } 1, 2, 3 \\ 0 \text{ for any } 2 \text{ of } i, j \text{ or } k \text{ are equal} \end{cases}$$

A Lie algebra is a vector space g over a field *K* together with an inner operation $[\cdot, \cdot]: g \times g \to g, (x,y) \mapsto [x,y]$. The inner operation is called *Lie-bracket* and is subject to the following conditions:

•The inner operation is bilinear, that means it is linear in both arguments. [ax+by,z]=a[x,z]+b[y,z] and [z,ax+by]=a[z,x]+b[z,y] valid for all $a,b\in K$ and all $x,y,z\in g$.

The inner operation fulfills the Jacobi identity. The Jacobi identity reads:
[x,[y,z]]+[y,[z,x]]+[z,[x,y]]=0 valid for all x,y,z∈g.
[x,x]=0 is valid for all x∈g.

The Schrödinger equation with the hyperbolic Scarf potential (genalized Huthén potential) as an example for algebraic approach defining creation and annihilation operators*)

$$V = A^{2} + \frac{B^{2} - A^{2} - A}{\cosh^{2}x} + B(2A+1)\frac{\tanh x}{\cosh x} \qquad H(A) = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}\tilde{b}_{A} \qquad \tilde{b}_{A} = \partial_{x} + A \tanh x + \frac{B}{\cosh x}$$
$$H(A)\psi_{n+1}(A) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(A)\psi_{n+1}(A) \qquad \varepsilon_{n}(A+1) = \varepsilon_{n+1}(A) \qquad \varepsilon_{n} = A^{2} - (A-n)^{2}$$
$$\psi_{n+1}(A) \sim \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}\psi_{n}(A-1) = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}}\psi_{n}(A) \equiv \hat{L}_{+}\psi_{n}(A) \text{ resp. } \hat{L}_{-} = e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} = \tilde{b}_{A+1}e^{\partial_{A}}$$

The definition of L_{and} L₊ leads to the commutation relation

$$\left[\hat{L}_{-},\hat{L}_{+}\right] = \hat{L}_{-}\hat{L}_{+} - \hat{L}_{+}\hat{L}_{-} = e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A}\tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} - \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}}e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} = \tilde{b}_{A+1}\tilde{b}_{A+1}^{+} - \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}\tilde{b}_{A}$$

whereas $\tilde{b}_{A+1}\tilde{b}_{A+1}^{+} = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}\tilde{b}_{A}^{+} + (2A+1)$ gives $[\hat{L}_{-},\hat{L}_{+}] = 2A + 1 \equiv 2\hat{L}_{0}$

*) Balantekin, A. B., Algebraic approach to shape invariance, Phys. Rev. A57 (1998) pp.4981

The further commutation relations read

$$\begin{split} & \left[\hat{L}_{0},\hat{L}_{+}\right] = \hat{L}_{0}\hat{L}_{+} - \hat{L}_{+}\hat{L}_{0} = (A + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} - \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}}(A + \frac{1}{2}) \\ & = (A + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} - \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}(A - \frac{1}{2})e^{-\partial_{A}} = (A + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} - (A - \frac{1}{2})\tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} = \tilde{b}_{A}^{+}e^{-\partial_{A}} = \hat{L}_{+} \\ & \left[\hat{L}_{0},\hat{L}_{-}\right] = \hat{L}_{0}\hat{L}_{-} - \hat{L}_{-}\hat{L}_{0} = (A + \frac{1}{2})e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} - e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A}(A + \frac{1}{2}) \\ & = (A + \frac{1}{2})e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} - e^{\partial_{A}}(A + \frac{1}{2})\tilde{b}_{A} = (A + \frac{1}{2})e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} - (A + \frac{3}{2})e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} = -e^{\partial_{A}}\tilde{b}_{A} = -\hat{L}_{-} \end{split}$$

and can be summarized as the Lie brackets

$$\begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{-}, \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{+} \end{bmatrix} = 2\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{0} \qquad , \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{0}, \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{+} \end{bmatrix} = \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{+} \qquad , \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{0}, \hat{\mathbf{L}}_{-} \end{bmatrix} = -\hat{\mathbf{L}}_{-}$$

which are connected to the ladder operators

$$\hat{L}_{+}\psi_{n}(y) = \ell_{+}\psi_{n+1}(y)$$
 $\hat{L}_{-}\psi_{n}(y) = \ell_{-}\psi_{n-1}(y)$ $\hat{L}_{0} = A + \frac{1}{2}$

1

Application Examples

A Disaster description

- Brownian motion and Schrödinger equation
- Stochastic description of waterlevel undulations
- First passage time distribution
- B Traffic breakdown propagation
- Korteweg-de Vries equation, Lax pairs and Schrödinger equation
- Conservation law in traffic modeling
- Korteweg-de Vries equation for wide moving jams

Brownian motion and Schrödinger equation

Langevin equation as starting point

$$\begin{split} m\ddot{x} &= -\gamma\dot{x} + F + \Gamma \\ & \text{friction} & \text{systematic} & \text{fluctuating} \\ \text{force} & \text{force} \end{split} \\ \text{with } \delta - \text{correlated fluctuations} \\ <\Gamma >= 0 & <\Gamma(t)\Gamma(t') >= 2D\delta(t-t') \\ \text{and} \\ F &= -\partial_x \Phi \quad \text{(force derived from potential)} \end{split}$$

summary

$$\begin{split} (m\ddot{x}) + \gamma \dot{x} &= -\partial_x \Phi + \Gamma \\ \dot{x} &= -\partial_x \Phi + \Gamma \ \text{(Langevin equation)} \end{split}$$

Paul Langevin

* January 23.1872 † December 19.1946

- french physicist
- studied at the Ecole Supériere de Physique et de Chimie Industrielles de la Ville de Paris
- career at this school, director at last
- since 1909 professor for physics at the Collège de France
- student of Pierre (†1906) and Marie Curie (†1934). He was a friend of the family and he had 1910 an affaire with Marie Curie.
- in the 30's and 40's years he belonged to a bohemian in Paris with Picasso.
- applied firstly in 1916 the Piezo electricity of quartz crystals by constructing the first ultrasonic object detector (Sonar)



Paul Langevin painted by Pablo Picasso, 1938

source: http:// amp2005.blog.lemonde.fr/files/langevin by_picasso.jpg und www.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_Langevin



Trajectories of the system status with common start at x=0

T= point in time, when firstly hitting a certain critical value x_{crit}

 $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = -\Phi' + \Gamma$

stochastic equivalent equation of motion for prob. distribution function P(x,t)



97

Special cases to interprete the Fokker-Planck equation $\dot{P}(x,t) = \left(\partial_x \Phi' + D\partial_x^2\right) P(x,t)$

a) Pure drift (D=0) $\dot{P}(x,t) - \partial_x \Phi' P(x,t) = 0$

Solution by method of characteristics

$$P(x,t) \equiv P(x(t))$$
$$\dot{x} = -\Phi'$$

= sharp movement along the trajectory x=x(t)

b) Pure diffusion ($\Phi'=0$) $\dot{P}(x,t) = D\partial_x^2 P(x,t)$ $P(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi Dt}} e^{-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{4Dt}}$

= dissolving Gaussian distribution
General Solution for P(x,t) by Separation

Starting equation (Fokker-Planck equation)

$$\dot{P}(x,t) = \left(\partial_x \Phi'(x) + \partial_x^2\right) P(x,t)$$

 $\partial_t = 0$ gives stationary solution

$$0 = \partial_x \left(\Phi'(x) + \partial_x \right) P^{st}(x) \implies P^{st}(x) = N e^{-\Phi(x)}$$

separation ansatz for complete solution

$$P(x,t) = \sqrt{P^{st}(x)} \varphi(x) e^{-\lambda t} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Phi(x)} \varphi(x) e^{-\lambda t}$$

gives

$$-\lambda \varphi = e^{\frac{1}{2}\Phi} (\partial_x \Phi' + \partial_x^2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Phi} \varphi$$

or

$$\lambda \varphi = (-\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}\Phi')(\partial_x + \frac{1}{2}\Phi')\varphi$$
$$= (-\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Phi'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Phi'')\varphi$$

99

The equation of motion for the probability distribution of the Brownian motion (Fokker-Planck equation) thus has the form of a Schrödinger-equation

$$H\phi = \lambda \phi$$
 ; $H = (-\partial_x^2 + V_s)$

with the Schrödinger-potential

$$V_{s} = \frac{1}{4} \Phi'^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \Phi'' \equiv W^{2} - W' \qquad W \equiv \frac{1}{2} \Phi'$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{and the correspondence} \\ \text{energy eigenvalue } E \Leftrightarrow \text{time constant } \lambda \\ \text{particle density } \psi^*\psi \Leftrightarrow \text{probability density P} \\ \text{wave function } \psi \quad \Leftrightarrow \text{ eigenfunction } \phi = P/\sqrt{P^{st}} \end{array}$

A short ethymology

• dis – aster (latin origin):

non/wrong constellation (dis) of stars (aster)our ancestors believed in the influence of stars on natural disasters (floods, earthquakes,...)

 catastrophe (greek origin: καταστροφή): revolution of planets around a central star, againthe influence of stars on our live

Danube water level time series



Source: 1.14. Janosia; Gallas; J.A.C.: Growth of companies and water-level fluctuations of the river Danube

Stochastic description of water level undulations

 h_t = daily water level of a specific day for year t

simple approach with constant flow rate $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and fluctuations

$$\begin{split} h_{t+1} &= h_t + \begin{cases} -2\gamma h_t & \text{if } h_t > \overline{h} \\ +2\gamma h_t & \text{if } h_t < \overline{h} \end{cases} + \Gamma(t) \\ & \stackrel{\text{no}}{\text{change}} & \text{in/out flow } \text{fluctuations} \\ & \frac{h_{t+1} - h_t}{1} = \underbrace{\begin{cases} -2\gamma h_t \\ +2\gamma h_t \\ +2\gamma h(t) \end{cases}} + \Gamma \\ & \stackrel{\text{Langevin}}{\text{equation}} & \left(x = \ln(h(t)/\overline{h}) \right) & \dot{x} = -2\gamma \operatorname{sign} x + \widetilde{\Gamma} & \left\langle \widetilde{\Gamma}(t)\widetilde{\Gamma}(t') \right\rangle = 2D\delta(t-t') \\ & = -\Phi'(x) + \widetilde{\Gamma} \\ & & | \\ & \text{drift } & \text{diffusion} \\ & & | \\ & \text{Fokker-Planck equation} \\ \text{for probability P(x,t)} & \dot{P} = \partial_x \Phi' P + \partial_x^2 P & \Phi = 2\gamma |x| \end{split}$$

Stationary solution

$$\dot{P}^{st} = 0 \quad gives \qquad (\Phi' + \partial_x) P^{st}(x) = 0$$

from this follows
$$P^{st}(x) = \gamma e^{-2\gamma |x|} \qquad \ln P^{st}(x) = -2\gamma |x| + \ln\gamma$$



Danube water level



probability density distribution as a function of the logarithmic rate of change. The data approximately collapse upon the universal (thin solid line).

Water Balance in Vojvodina Region



Source: Atila Salvai, university of Novi Sad (presentation - Ulm, city hall 6. of November 2007)

Eigenfunction expansion for the V-shaped potential with natural boundaries

Separation

$$P = e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{2}} \phi(x) e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi(x) = 2\gamma |x|$$

transforms the Fokker Planck equation

$$\dot{P}(x,t) = (\partial_x \phi'(x) + \partial_x^2) P(x,t)$$

into a Schrödinger equation

$$\lambda \varphi = (-\partial_x + \frac{\Phi'}{2})(\partial_x + \frac{\Phi'}{2})\varphi \equiv (-\partial_x^2 + \gamma^2 - 2\gamma \delta(x))\varphi$$

with natural boundary condition

$$(\phi(\mathbf{x} \to \pm \infty) = 0)$$

ground state
$$\lambda_0 = 0$$
 $\phi_0 = \sqrt{\gamma} e^{-\gamma |x|}$

symmetric/ antisymmetric scattering states

$$\varphi_k^s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(k|x| - \alpha_k) \qquad \tan \alpha_k = k/\gamma \qquad \varphi_k^{as} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin kx \qquad \lambda_k = \gamma^2 + k^2, k > 0$$

Term scheme



(Matthew 22:14 – For many are called, but few are chosen)

Construction of the time dependent (conditional) probability distribution using the completeness relation

$$P(x,t | x_0,0) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi(x_0) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x_0)} \sum \phi_k(x)\phi_k(x_0)e^{-\lambda_k t} \rightarrow \begin{cases} \delta(x-x_0) & \text{for } t=0\\ \gamma e^{-2\gamma|x|} & \text{for } t \to \infty \end{cases}$$





First passage time



Eigenfunction expansion

Using the completness relation

$$\sum \phi_{\nu}(x) \phi_{\nu}(0) = \delta(x)$$

allows the decomposition

$$P(x, t | 0, 0) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\Phi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\Phi(0)} \sum \phi_{v}(x) \phi_{v}(0) e^{-\lambda_{v}t}$$

with

$$P(x, t | 0, 0) |_{t=0} = \delta(x)$$

transforms the Fokker Planck equation into an eigenvalue equation

$$(-\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Phi'^2(x) - \frac{1}{2}\Phi''(x))\phi_v(x) = \lambda_v\phi_v(x)$$

which has to be solved under the boundary conditions

$$\left[(\partial_{x} + \frac{\Phi'(x)}{2}) \phi_{v}(x) = 0 \right]_{x = -\ell}$$
 reflecting boundary
$$\phi_{v}(x) = 0$$
 absorbing boundary
$$x = x_{crit}$$

First passage time probability distribution



Korteweg-de Vries equation and Schrödinger equation

- For the description of
- (1) shallow water waves
- (2) tidal bores, Tsunamis (<u>Amazon tidal bore.avi</u>)
- (3) wide moving jams
- the Korteweg-de Vries equation is perfect suitable as
- equation of motion with competing nonlinear and disper-

sion terms

$$u_t + 6uu_x - u_{xxx} = 0$$

Korteweg-de Vries equation and Schrödinger equation (cont'd)

Introducing the linear operator L

$$\mathbf{L} = -\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^2 + \mathbf{u}$$

where u is the solution of the Korteweg de-Vries equation. The spectral problem of the linear operator is represented by a Schrödinger-like equation

$$L\psi \equiv -\psi_{xx} + u\psi = E\psi$$

The eigenfunctions ψ [x,E,t] and the eigenvalues E of L depend on t as a parameter and when t is fixed this equation is the well known time-independent linear Schrödinger equation of quantum mechanics for a particle in the potential u(x,t) Korteweg-de Vries equation and Schrödinger equation (cont'd)

Note that if u(x,t) evolves according to the Korteweg de-Vries equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x - u_{xxx} = 0$$

and, if we chose

$$A = 4i\partial_x^3 - 3i(u\partial_x + \partial_x u) = 4i\partial_x^3 - 3i(2u\partial_x + u_x)$$

the linear operator L satisfies the operator equation

$$i\frac{\partial L}{\partial t} = [A,L]$$

The operators L and A form a "Lax pair" *).

*)Lax, P.D., Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **21**, pp. 467...490, 1968

Proof of the Lax pair relation

Inserting

A=4i
$$\partial_x^3$$
 – 3i(u ∂_x + ∂_x u) =4i ∂_x^3 – 3i(2u ∂_x + u_x)
gives for the commutator relation

$$\begin{bmatrix} A, L \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4i\partial_x^3 - 3i(u\partial_x + \partial_x u), -\partial_x^2 + u \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= -4i \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x^3, \partial_x^2 \end{bmatrix} + 4i \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x^3, u \end{bmatrix} + 3i \begin{bmatrix} \partial_x^2, (u\partial_x + \partial_x u) \end{bmatrix} - 3i \begin{bmatrix} (u\partial_x + \partial_x u), u \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= 4i \left(u_{xxx} + 3(\underbrace{u_{xx}} \partial_x + u_x \partial_x^2) \right) - 3i \left(u_{xxx} + 4\underbrace{u_{xx}} \partial_x + 4u_x \partial_x^2 \right) - 6iuu_x$$
$$= iu_{xxx} - 6iuu_x$$

together with $iL_t = iu_t$ the relation $iL_t = [A,L]$ is thus exactly equivalent to the Korteweg de-Vries equation $u_t = u_{xxx} - 6uu_x$

As a consequence of the decomposition of the Schrödinger operator L and the corresponding Lax pair operator A the time development of the eigenfunctions ψ satisfying the eigenvalue equation

 $L\psi=E\psi$

can be written as

$$i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = A\psi$$

So it is possible to associate the linear operator L with the Korteweg-de Vries equation and to reinforce the solution to a spectal problem of the operator A. For solving the spectral problem of the Lax pair operators we assume that the solutions u(x,t) of the Korteweg-de Vries equation are (1) continous, (2) bounded, and (3) tend to 0 for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$



Scattering solutions corresponding to the continous spectrum of the linear operator L

For the time evolution of the eigenfunctions of the selfadjoint linear Schrödinger-like operator L we split the eigenvalues E into bound state and continous state values

$$E = \begin{cases} -\kappa_n^2 & \text{bound states} \\ k^2 & \text{continous states} \end{cases}$$

and introduce the asymptotic eigenfunctions

$$\begin{split} \psi_{n} &\to \begin{cases} e^{-\kappa_{n}|x|} & \text{for } x \to +\infty \\ c_{n}(t)e^{-\kappa_{n}|x|} & \text{for } x \to -\infty \end{cases} \text{bound states} \\ \psi_{k} &\to \begin{cases} e^{-ikx} + R(k,t)e^{ikx} & \text{for } x \to +\infty \\ T(k,t)e^{-ikx} & \text{for } x \to -\infty \end{cases} \text{continous states} \end{split}$$

For the discrete spectrum of the time development governed by the Lax pair operators

$$\mathbf{i}\frac{\partial \Psi_{\mathbf{n}}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{A}\Psi_{\mathbf{n}}$$

in the asymptotic limit $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ where $A \rightarrow 4i\partial_x^3$, since u vanishes, we get $\partial_x^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x^2$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{c}_{n}}{\partial t} = 4\kappa_{n}^{3}\mathbf{c}_{n}$$

This is simply solved and gives

$$c_n(t) = c_n(0)e^{4\kappa_n^3 t}$$

where $c_n(0)$ is determined by the initial data u(x,0) of the Korteweg de-Vries equation

For the continous spectrum we get

$$\begin{split} \psi_{k} &= a(k,t)e^{ikx} + b(k,t)e^{-ikx} \quad x \to +\infty \\ \text{inserting this in the time development} & i\frac{\partial\psi_{k}}{\partial t} = A\psi_{k} \\ \text{gives with the asymptotic expression} & A \to 4i\partial_{x}^{3} \\ & i\partial_{t}\left(a(k,t)e^{ikx} + b(k,t)e^{-ikx}\right) = 4i\partial_{x}^{3}\left(a(k,t)e^{ikx} + b(k,t)e^{-ikx}\right) \\ \text{or because of the linear independence of the exponential} \\ \text{functions} & \partial_{t}a(k,t) = -4ik^{3}a(k,t), \quad \partial_{t}b(k,t) = 4ik^{3}b(k,t) \end{split}$$

Integration leads to

$$a(k,t) = a(k,0)e^{-4ik^{3}t},$$
 $b(k,t) = b(k,0)e^{4ik^{3}t}$

and for the reflection coefficient to

 $R(k,t)=a(k,t)/b(k,t)=R(k,0)e^{-8ik^{3}t}$

2	A	v 1	``````````````````````````````````````
name	formula	factorization	eigenvalues
δ-potential	$u = -2\gamma\delta(x)$	$H = b^+ b - \gamma^2$	$\varepsilon_0 = -\gamma^2$
		$b = \partial_x + \gamma \operatorname{sign} x$	
Pöschl-Tel-	$u = -\frac{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)}$	$H = b^{+}(\lambda)b(\lambda) - (\lambda - 1)^{2}$	$\varepsilon_{v} = -(\lambda - 1 + v)^{2}$
ler potential	$\cosh^2 x$	$b(\lambda) = \partial_x + (\lambda - 1) \text{ th } x$	
rectangular	$\int -\mathbf{C}^2 x < 1$	$H = b^+ b + \varepsilon_0$	$\varepsilon_0 = -\kappa_0^2$
potential	$ \mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} 0 & x > 1 \end{cases}$	$ \mathbf{\kappa}_0 = \mathbf{\kappa} > 1$	κ_0 from
hole		$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D} = O_{\mathbf{x}} + \left\{ \mathbf{k}_{0} \tan \mathbf{k}_{0} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \right\} < 1$	$k_0 \tan k_0 = \kappa_0$
		with $k_0 \tan k_0 = \kappa_0$	with $k_0 = \sqrt{C^2 - \kappa_0^2}$
Scarf II	$u = A^2 + \frac{B^2 - A^2 - A}{1^2}$	$H(A) = \tilde{b}_A^+ \tilde{b}_A$	$\varepsilon_{v}(A) = -(A - v)^{2}$
potential	$\cos h^2 \alpha x$	$\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \partial + \mathbf{A} \tanh \mathbf{x} + \frac{\mathbf{B}}{\mathbf{B}}$	$+A^2$
	$+B(2A+1)\frac{1}{\cosh \alpha x}$	cosh x	124

Exactly solvable potentials with the asymptotic $u(|x| \rightarrow \infty) = 0$

- Inverse scattering theory
- Given the energy levels of the Schrödinger

equation $\left(-\partial_x^2 + u\right)\psi = \lambda\psi$

find the potential u

1) Asymptotic behavior for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ Assumption $u(|x| \rightarrow \infty)=0$ $e^{1kx} + \underbrace{Re^{-1kx}}_{G} x \rightarrow +\infty$

scattering states

$$\lambda = k^2 > 0 \quad \psi_k = \begin{cases} \text{mendem wave} & \text{reflected was} \\ & Te^{ikx} \end{cases}$$

transmitted wave

 $X \rightarrow -\infty$

125

bound states

$$\lambda = -\kappa_n^2 \quad \psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\kappa_n x} & \text{for } x \to \infty \\ c_n e^{\kappa_n x} & \text{for } x \to -\infty \end{cases}$$

2) Complete solution

With the Green's function of the asymptotic of the Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\partial_x^2 - k^2\right)G(x,x') = \delta(x-x') \Rightarrow G(x,x') = \frac{i}{2k}e^{ik|x-x'|}$$

the solution of the complete Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\partial_x^2+u(x)\right)\psi_k(x)=k^2\psi_k(x) \text{ or } \left(-\partial_x^2-k^2\right)\psi_k(x)=\underbrace{-u(x)\psi_k(x)}_{I(x)}$$

reads

$$\psi_{k}(x) = \psi_{k}^{hom}(x) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' G(x,x') I(x') = e^{ikx} - \frac{i}{2k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' e^{ik|x-x'|} u(x') \psi_{k}(x')$$

The complete solution is a linear integral equation representing the sum of an incident plane wave and an outgoing wave

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\psi_k(x)=e^{ikx}+R(k)e^{-ikx}\quad k>0$$

Together with the bound states the integral equation can be put in the general form

$$g(x,y) + F(x+y) + \int_{x}^{\infty} dz F(y+z)g(x,z) = 0$$

F(\xi) = $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R(k)e^{ik\xi}dk + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_{n}^{2}e^{-\kappa_{n}\xi} \quad u(x,t) = -2\frac{d}{dx}g(x,y=x)$

as shown by Gelfand and Levitan*). The function F(x+y) is related to the scattering data $R(k),c_n$, and κ_n .

*)Gelfand, I.M., Levitan, B. M., On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function Am. Math. Soc. Trans. **1**, 253...304, 1951 Inserting the spectral data for the evolution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation

Inserting the time developments of the coefficients in the eigenfunctions found for the Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$c_n(t) = c_n(0)e^{4\kappa_n^3 t}$$
, $R(k,t) = R(k,0)e^{-8ikt}$

into the Gelfand-Levitan integral equation, we obtain

$$F(x+y,t) = \sum_{1}^{N} c_{n}^{2}(0) e^{-\kappa_{n}(x+y)+8\kappa_{n}^{3}t}$$

$$\frac{1}{1} \int_{0}^{+\infty} dt D(t_{n}(0)) e^{ik(x+y)+8\kappa_{n}^{3}t}$$

Discrete spectrum only: one soliton solution

If the potential u(x,t) has only a discrete spectrum and is reflectionless (i.e. R(k,0)=0) and if we first consider N=1(i.e. E=- κ^2 is the only eigenvalue), then the solution of the Gelfand-Levitan integral equation

 $g(x,y,t) + F(x+y,t) + \int_{x} dz F(x+y,t) g(x,y,t) = 0$ can be put in the form

$$g(x, y, t) = -c^{2}(0)e^{-\kappa(x+y)+8\kappa^{3}t} - c^{2}(0)e^{8\kappa^{3}t}\int_{x}^{\infty} dz e^{-\kappa(z+y)}g(x, z, t)$$

from which

$$u(x,t) = -2\frac{d}{dx}g(x,y=x,t) = -2\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\cosh^{2}(\kappa(x-x^{0})+4\kappa^{3}t)}$$

follows

Discrete spectrum only: N soliton solution

If we next consider a discrete spectrum with N bound states $E_n = -\kappa_n^2$ and again a reflectionless potential, we get for the Gelfand-Levitan integral equation

$$g(x,y,t) = -\sum_{1}^{N} c_{n}^{2}(0) e^{8\kappa_{n}^{3}t} \left(e^{-\kappa x} + \int_{x}^{\infty} dz e^{-\kappa_{n}z} g(x,z,t) \right) e^{-\kappa_{n}y}$$

with the N soliton solution

$$u(x,t) = -2\sum_{1}^{N} \frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\cosh^{2}(\kappa_{n}(x-x_{n}^{0})+4\kappa_{n}^{3}t)}$$

Each soliton has a velocity $-4\kappa_n^2$, and the bigger solitons travel faster.

Conservation lawaxaxaxaxaxaxi-1axaxi-1ii+1 $N(i, t) = k(i,t) \cdot \Delta x$ $\frac{dN(i,t)}{dt} = k_t(i,t)\Delta x = -q_{out} + q_{in}$ Traffic flow as forward difference*)

gives

 $q(i \rightarrow i+1, t) = k(i, t)v(i+1, t)$

The approach reflects the forward orientation of the drivers and the asymmetric interaction in contrast to molecules in a gas or atoms in a solid state

The forward difference approach is summarized

$$q_{out} = k(i,t)v(i+1,t)$$
 $q_{in} = k(i-1,t)v(i,t)$

^{*)} Hilleges, M., Ein phänomenologisches Modell des dynamischen Verkehrsflusses in Schnellstraßennetzen, Diss., Uni Stuttgart, 1994.

Conservation law (cont'd)

A continuum approximation allows the Taylor expansion

$$q_{out} = q(i \to i+1, t) = k(x, t) \begin{pmatrix} v(x,t) + \Delta x \, v_X(x,t) + \\ + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{2} v_{XX}(x,t) + \frac{(\Delta x)^3}{6} v_{XXX}(x,t) + ... \end{pmatrix}$$

$$q_{in} = q(i - 1 \rightarrow i, t) = \begin{pmatrix} k(x,t) - \Delta x k_{x}(x,t) + \\ + \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{2} k_{xx}(x,t) - \frac{(\Delta x)^{3}}{6} k_{xxx}(x,t) + ... \end{pmatrix} v(x,t)$$

Inserted into
$$\frac{dN(i,t)}{dt} = k_t(i,t)\Delta x = -q_{out} + q_{in}$$

gives the conservation law

$$k_{t} = -(kv_{x} + k_{x}v) + \frac{\Delta x}{2}(-kv_{xx} + k_{xx}v) - \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}(kv_{xxx} + k_{xxx}v) + \dots$$

0

This can be transformed into a new conservation law

$$k_{t} + q_{x} = 0, q = kv + \frac{\Delta x}{2} (kv_{x} - k_{x}v) + \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{6} (kv_{xx} + k_{xx}v - k_{x}v_{x}) + \dots (1)$$

For the speed variation we assume, that the density k follows instantaneously an optimum velocity function:

$$v = V_{opt}(k)$$
(2)

 V_{opt} (k) is the equilibrium speed-density relation from the fundamental diagram. (1) and (2) is a modification (i.e. infinitesimal relaxation time) of the macro-scopic traffic flow model firstly introduced by Bando et al.*).

*)Bando, M., et al.: Phys. Rev. E Vol.5, pp. 1035(1995)

Selecting an operating point in very dense traffic


Decomposition for very dense traffic

$$k = k_m (1 - \tilde{k})$$
 $v = c_0 \tilde{v}$ with $c_0 = \frac{\Delta x}{2\tau}$ $V_{opt}(k_m) = 0$

gives

$$-\frac{1}{c_0}\tilde{k}_t + \tilde{v}_x - (\tilde{k}\tilde{v})_x = \frac{\Delta x}{2} \left(-\tilde{v}_{xx} + \tilde{k}\tilde{v}_{xx} - \tilde{k}_{xx}\tilde{v} \right) - \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{6} (\tilde{v}_{xxx} - \tilde{k}\tilde{v}_{xxx} - \tilde{k}_{xxx}\tilde{v}) + \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\mathbf{c}_{0}} \mathbf{V}_{\mathrm{opt}}'(\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{m}}) \tilde{\mathbf{k}}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{a+1} \qquad (2)$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{v}}_{a+1} \qquad (2)$$

Inserting the second relation

$$-(a+1)\tilde{k}+\tilde{v}=0$$

and sorting the terms yields to

$$\left(\tilde{v}_{x} + \frac{\Delta x}{2}\tilde{v}_{xx} + \frac{(\Delta x)^{2}}{6}\tilde{v}_{xxx} + \dots\right)$$

$$-\frac{1}{a+1}\left(\frac{1}{c_0}\tilde{v}_t + (\tilde{v}^2)_x + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{3}\tilde{v}\tilde{v}_{xxx} + ...\right) = 0$$

Proper scaling $\tilde{v} = \lambda \tilde{v}' \quad \partial_t = \lambda \partial_{t'}$ ('suppressed) separates the equation of motion in terms of O(λ) and O(λ^2).

Synchronized traffic description

 $O(\lambda)$ contains only linear terms and no temporal changes

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)} + \frac{\Delta \mathbf{x}}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{xx}}^{(0)} + \frac{(\Delta \mathbf{x})^2}{6} \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\mathbf{xxx}}^{(0)} + \dots = 0 \implies \tilde{\mathbf{v}}^{(0)} = \text{const.} \doteq \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{\text{syn}}$$

The constant solution $\tilde{v}^{(0)}$ is "synchronized traffic": in very dense traffic creeping shows undulations only on a coarse scale, and the behavior in adjacent lanes shows no big differences (traffic in adjacent lanes seems to be synchronized*)).

*)Palmer, J., et al. Quality of Congested Traffic Int'l J. Adv. Systems <u>4</u> pp.168-182 (2011)

Korteweg-de Vries equation for speed drop propagation (wide moving jam)

In O(λ^2) the time derivative and the nonlinear terms prevail

$$\frac{1}{c_0}\tilde{v}_t^{(1)} + ((\tilde{v}^{(1)})^2)_x + \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{3}\tilde{v}^{(1)}\tilde{v}_{xxx}^{(1)} + \dots = 0 \implies \tilde{v}^{(1)} = \frac{\text{solution of non-linerar equation}}{1 + 1 + 1 + 1}$$

 $\tilde{v}^{(1)}$ follows a nonlinear equation for the spatio-temporal speed variations of the Korteweg-de Vries type: in very dense traffic other traffic patterns than the synchronized traffic can occur under certain parameter configurations.

Wide moving jam

Trajectory of a vehicle from 7:30

example *) 20 of a backwards 15 running jam, stable over more than 20 km



*) R.-P. Schäfer et al., "A study about probe vehicle data to verify the three-phase traffic theory". Traffic Engineering and Control, Vol 52, No 5, Pages 225-231, 2011



Using a mean field approximation for the third order derivative term as indicated

$$\frac{(\Delta x)^2}{3} \tilde{v}^{(1)} \tilde{v}^{(1)}_{xxx} \approx \frac{(\Delta x)^2}{3} \overline{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} \tilde{v}^{(1)}_{xxx} = -\frac{(\Delta x)^2}{3} \left| \overline{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} \right| \tilde{v}^{(1)}_{xxx}$$

with the mean value $\overline{\tilde{v}}^{(1)}$ as profile average

$$\overline{\tilde{v}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \int_{-\Lambda}^{+\Lambda} dx \, \widetilde{v}^{(1)}(x,t)$$

determined by a self consistency condition later on

and a change in the variables ('suppressed)

$$\mathbf{t}'' = \left| \overline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}^{(1)} \right| \frac{\mathbf{c}_0}{3\Delta \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{t}' \qquad \mathbf{x}'' = \frac{\mathbf{x}}{\Delta \mathbf{x}} \qquad \mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{\left| \overline{\tilde{\mathbf{v}}}^{(1)} \right|} \, \mathbf{\tilde{v}}^{(1)}$$

gives for the temporal and spatial behavior of the (normalized) speed u

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{t}} + 6\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{x}} - \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{xxx}} = 0$$

(nonlinear wave equation also called Korteweg-de Vries equation) This is exactly the Korteweg-de Vries equation, describing waves with long wavelengths running stable like a Tsunami*).

The Korteweg-de Vries equation as a nonlinear equation for the spatio-temporal speed variations describes the impressive wide moving jams in very dense traffic, i.e. the backward running shockwaves, which are so stable, that even traffic from interchanges do not destroy their structure (compare distance-time diagrams shown above).

*) Remoissenet, M., Waves Called Solitons, Springer publ., 1999

The solution can be found either by the Cole-Hopf transformation*)

$$u = -2(\ln F)_{zz}$$

which converts the Korteweg-de Vries equation into a homogeneous quadratic differential equation or by a direct ansatz, which is shown in the following section and leads to the solution

$$u(x,t) = -\frac{N}{\cosh^2\left(\kappa(x-x^0) + \omega t\right)}$$

*) Whitham, G.B., Linear and Nonlinear Waves, Wiley, 1974

Soliton solution of the general Korteweg-de Vries equation

The general Korteweg-de Vries equation reads

$$u_{t} + \alpha u u_{x} - \beta u_{xxx} = 0$$

Introducing the collective coordinate

$$z = \kappa(x - x^0) + \omega t$$
 or $\partial_t = \omega \partial_z \partial_x = \kappa \partial_z$

gives

$$\frac{\omega}{\kappa}u_{z} + \alpha u u_{z} - \beta \kappa^{2} u_{zzz} = 0 \quad \text{resp.} \quad \frac{\omega}{\kappa}u + \frac{\alpha}{2}u^{2} - \beta \kappa^{2} u_{zz} = C$$

The boundary condition u=0 for $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$

leads to
$$\frac{\omega}{\kappa}u + \frac{\alpha}{2}u^2 - \beta\kappa^2 u_{zz} = 0$$

The ansatz fulfills the Korteweg- de Vries equation for

$$\frac{\omega}{\kappa} = 4\beta\kappa^2$$
, $\frac{\alpha}{2} = \beta\kappa^2\frac{6}{N}$

As simple case the following parameter set is chosen

$$\alpha = 6$$
 $\beta = 1$ $N = 2\kappa^2$ $\omega = 4\kappa^3$

With this the self consistency condition

$$1 = \frac{1}{2\Lambda} \int_{-\Lambda}^{+\Lambda} dx \frac{2\kappa^2}{\cosh^2\left(\kappa(x - x^0) + 4\kappa^3 t\right)}$$

is for $\kappa = \Lambda/2$ automatically fulfilled.

As final result, if we restrict to second order and take \tilde{v}_{syn} as the asymptotic speed, we get for the speed profile in very dense traffic

$$\tilde{\mathbf{v}} = \tilde{\mathbf{v}}_{syn} \left(1 - \frac{2\kappa^2}{\cosh^2(\kappa(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0) + 4\kappa^3 \mathbf{t})} \right) \Big|_{\kappa = \Lambda/2}$$

which describes a temporal and spatial variation, with a low speed at the very tails and a stable backward running breakdown.



and leads to
$$\frac{\omega}{\kappa} = 4\beta\kappa^2$$
, $\frac{\omega}{2} = \beta\kappa^2\frac{\omega}{N}$

As simple case the following parameter set is chosen $\alpha = 6$ $\beta = 1$ $N = 2\kappa^2$ $\omega = 4\kappa^3$



This result fits excellently with the empirically observed data from vehicle probes or inductive loops in very dense traffic situations.

These data of the spatio-temporal patterns allow the determination of the parameters like backwards speed and breakdown amplitude and make the perturbation approach very reasonable.



*) Kerner, B., et al. Methods for tracing and forecasting congested traffic patterns, Traffic Engineering &Control <u>42</u>, pp282-287, 2001

The multi-soliton solution of the original Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{t}} + \mathbf{6}\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{x}} - \mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{xxx}} = 0$$

can be obtained under proper initial conditions and under the boundary conditions u=0 for $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$ as shown in the above inverse scattering theory section, or when we set

$$u = -2(\ln f(x,t))_{xx}$$

$$f(x,t) = \det(M)$$

$$M_{i,j}(x,t) = \delta_{i,j} + \frac{2\sqrt{\kappa_i \kappa_j}}{K_i + K_j} e^{\frac{1}{2}(z_i + z_j)}$$

$$z_i = \kappa_i (x - x_i^0) + \kappa_i^3 t$$

with the collective coordinates $z_i = \kappa_i (x - x_i^0) + \omega_i t = \kappa_i (x - x_i^0) + \kappa_i^3 t$ as the only independent variables.



Multi-soliton solutions as explanation for distance

time pattern with several wide moving jams

R.-P. Schäfer et al., "A study about probe vehicle data to verify the threephase traffic theory".

Traffic Engineering and Control, Vol 52, No 5, Pages 225-231, 2011



time of the day

Multi-soliton solutions as explanation for distance

time pattern with several wide moving jams

R.-P. Schäfer et al., "A study about probe vehicle data to verify the threephase traffic theory".

Traffic Engineering and Control, Vol 52, No 5, Pages 225-231, 2011

Literature:

Textbooks Griffiths, David J.: Introduction to Quantum Mechanics. Pearson Education, 2005 Flügge, S.: Practical Quantum Mechanics. Springer Series Classics in Mathematics, Vol. 177, 1999 Dong, S.: Factorization Method in Quantum Mechanics. Springer Series Fundamental theories of physics, Vol. 150, 2007 Greenberger, D.; Hentschel, K.; Weinert, F. (Eds.): Compendium of Quantum Physics. Springer, 2009

Articles in journals and other publications

Acosta-Hum´anez, P.: Galoisian Approach to Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics, Diss.2009, Departament de Matem´atica Aplicada I, Universitat Polit`ecnica de Catalunya

Balantekin, A.B. Algebraic approach to shape invariance, Phys. Rev. A57 (1998) pp.4911 Setare, M.R.; Karimi, E.: **Algebraic Approach to the Hulthen Potential,** International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 46, (2007)

Castillo, D. E.: Exactly Solvable Potentials in Quantum Mechanics, Diss.2007, Uni San Luis Potosi

Setare, M.R.; Karimi, E.; **Mapping of Shape Invariant Potentials by the Point Canonical Transformation,** International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 47, (2008), pp. 891